# Level of Traffic Stress Methodology

# Version 1.1

#### Montgomery County Planning Department

March 29, 2021

# I. Introduction

When people bicycle on roadways, they encounter varying levels of stress from traffic. A quiet residential street with a 25-mile-per-hour speed limit is considered a very low-stress environment for cyclists. But a six-lane suburban highway with a 40-mile-per-hour speed limit represents a high-stress environment for cyclists who must share the roadway with traffic. As a result, fewer people are likely to bicycle on the highway.

Level of traffic stress (LTS) is an approach that quantifies the amount of discomfort that people feel when they bicycle close to traffic. The methodology was originally developed in 2012 by the Mineta Transportation Institute and San Jose State University, but has been modified by jurisdictions over the years, including Montgomery County<sup>1</sup>.

The LTS methodology assigns a numeric stress level to streets and trails based on attributes such as traffic speed, traffic volume, number of lanes, frequency of parking turnover, ease of intersection crossings and others.

When a street has a moderate or high level of stress, it may be a sign that bicycle infrastructure, like separated bike lanes or shared use paths, is needed to make it a place where more people will feel comfortable riding.

An analysis of over 3,500 miles of streets and trails in Montgomery County shows that while threequarters of the network qualifies as a low-stress environment, these low stress areas form "islands of connectivity" separated by major highways and other high-speed roads. Most people are uncomfortable bicycling on high-speed roads in such environments. These low stress-tolerant groups, accounting for about 60 percent of the County's population, would be unlikely to bicycle without a network of separated bikeways and other enhancements connecting the "islands." One of the goals of the Bicycle Master Plan is to recommend ways of creating a connected bikeway system in the county that will appeal to a wider range of riders.

For a bicycle network to attract the broadest segment of the population, it must provide low-stress connectivity, defined by the methodology as "providing routes between people's origins and destinations that do not require cyclists to use links that exceed their tolerance for traffic stress, and that do not involve an undue level of detour."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Mekuria, Maaza, Peter G. Furth, and Hilary Nixon, Low-Stress Bicycling and Network Connectivity, San Jose, CA: Mineta Transportation Institute, 2012.

# II. Comfort Levels

The Level of Traffic Stress methodology identifies four stress levels:

- LTS 0 None
- LTS 1 Very Low
- LTS 2 Low
- LTS 2.5 Moderate Low
- LTS 3 Moderate High
- LTS 4 High

# III. Methodology

The Level of Traffic Stress (LTS) methodology is conducted for links and intersections, as shown on the following tables. The analysis applies a "weakest link" logic, wherein the stress level is assigned based on the lowest-performing attribute of the street. For example, even if a segment has mostly low-stress characteristics, the occurrence of one higher-stress attribute (for example, frequent bike lane blockage) dictates the stress level for the segment.

#### Link Methodology for Mixed Traffic / Priority Shared Lane Markings

Posted Speed Limit (mph)	# of Through Lanes	Mixed Traffic / Priority Shared Lane Markings							
		No Parking		Parking					
		Center Line	No Center Line	Center Line & High Parking Turnover	Center Line & Low Parking Turnover	No Center Line & High Parking Turnover	No Center Line & Low Parking Turnover		
	2-3	2(3 <sup>c</sup> )	1 (2 <sup>d</sup> )	2.5	2	2.5	1 (2 <sup>d</sup> )		
≤25	4-5	3	n/a	3	3	n/a	n/a		
	≥6	4	n/a	4	4	n/a	n/a		
	2-3	3	2	3	3	2.5	2		
30	4-5	4	n/a	4	4	n/a	n/a		
	≥6	4	n/a	4	4	n/a	n/a		
	2-3								
35	4-5	4	4	4	4	n/a	n/a		
	≥6								
	2-3								
40	4-5	4	4	4	4	n/a	n/a		
	≥6								
≥45	2-3								
	4-5	5	5	5	5	n/a	n/a		
	≥6								

#### Notes

a. Road is residential or posted speed limit is < 25 mph

b. There is a raised median

c. ADT >= 6,000 ADT

d. ADT >= 3,000 ADT

e. Buffer >= 10 feet wide

f. Road is residential

g. Hard Barrier = Jersey, Guardrail, Railing, Parking

Industrial roads: For roads that are classified as "industrial" in a master plan, the LTS is the higher of 1) the result in the segment table or 2) LTS 2.5

## Link Methodology for Conventional Bike Lanes

	# of Through Lanes	Bike Lanes								
Posted Speed Limit (mph)		No Parking			Parking					
		Infrequenly Obstructed			Infrequenty					
		Bike Lane ≤ 5.5 ft	Bike Lane ≥ 6.0 ft	Frequently Obstructed	Bike Lane + Parking < 14.0 ft	Turnover Bike Lane + Parking = 14.0 - 14.5 ft	Bike Lane + Parking = 15.0 ft	Frequently Obstructed / High Parking Turnover		
	2-3	2	1	2.5	2.5 (2ª)	2	1	2.5		
≤25	4-5	2.5 (2 <sup>b</sup> )	2.5 (2 <sup>b</sup> )	2.5	3					
	≥6	3			3					
	2-3	2	2	2.5	2.5	2	2	2.5		
30	4-5	2.5 (2 <sup>b</sup> )	2.5 (2 <sup>♭</sup> )	2.5	3					
	≥6	3			3					
	2-3	3			3					
35	4-5									
	≥6									
	2-3	3								
40	4-5	4 (3 <sup>b</sup> )			n/a					
	≥6	4			]					
	2-3									
≥45	4-5	4			n/a					
	≥6									

# Link Methodology for Shared Use Paths (aka Sidepaths)

	# of Through Lanes	Shared Use Path							
Posted Speed Limit (mph)			Landscape Buf	fer, Crossing, Protecte					
		No Buffer	Width <5 ft	Width ≥ 5 ft AND Frequent Driveways	Driveways =	Buffer = Hard Buffer (g)	Independent ROW		
	2-3				1		0		
≤25	4-5	2 (1 <sup>f</sup> )	2 (1f)	2 (1 <sup>f</sup> )		1			
	≥6								
	2-3	2 (1 <sup>f</sup> )	2 (1f)	2 (1 <sup>f</sup> )	1	1			
30	4-5						0		
	≥6								
	2-3	2 (1 <sup>f</sup> )	2 (1f)	2 (1 <sup>f</sup> )	1	1			
35	4-5						0		
	≥6								
	2-3		2	2	2 (1 <sup>°</sup> )	2 (1 <sup>°</sup> )			
40	4-5	2					0		
	≥6								
≥45	2-3	2	2	2	2 (1 <sup>e</sup> )	2 (1 <sup>e</sup> )			
	4-5						0		
	≥6								

## Link Methodology for Separated Bike Lanes

		Separated Bike Lanes							
	# of Through Lanes								
Posted Speed Limit (mph)		Flex Posts	Width <5 ft	Width ≥ 5 ft AND Frequent Driveways	Width ≥ 5 ft AND Driveways = Infrequent or Crossing	Buffer = Hard Barrier (g)			
	2-3	1			1	1			
≤25	4-5	2	2 (1 <sup>f</sup> )	2 (1 <sup>f</sup> )					
	≥6	2.5							
	2-3	2	2 (1 <sup>f</sup> )	2 (1 <sup>f</sup> )	1	1			
30	4-5	2.5							
	≥6	2.5							
	2-3	2		2 (1 <sup>f</sup> )	1	1			
35	4-5	2.5	2 (1 <sup>f</sup> )						
	≥6	2.5							
	2-3		2.5	2.5	2 (1 <sup>e</sup> )	n/a			
40	4-5	2.5							
	≥6								
≥45	2-3		2.5	2.5	2 (1 <sup>e</sup> )				
	4-5	2.5				n/a			
	≥6								

Link Methodology for Bikeable Shoulders, Neighborhood Greenways, Shared Streets

Posted Speed Limit (mph)	# of Through Lanes	Bikeable Shoulders	Neighborhood Greenway	Shared Street	
	2-3	2			
≤25	4-5	2.5 (2 <sup>b</sup> )	1	1	
	≥6	3			
	2-3	2		1	
30	4-5	2.5 (2 <sup>b</sup> )	1		
	≥6	3			
	2-3				
35	4-5	3	1	1	
	≥6				
	2-3	3			
40	4-5	4 (3b)	1	1	
	≥6	4			
	2-3				
≥45	4-5	4	1	1	
	≥6				

#### Intersection Methodology

#### **Unsignalized Intersections**

LTS is the more stressful of:

1. Intersection methodology:

	# of Lanes of Street Being Crossed							
Posted Speed Limit on Street	No Me	dian Refu	ge	Median Refuge (≥6 ft wide)				
Being Crossed	2 to 3	4 to 5	6+	2 to 3	4 to 5	6+		
≤25	1	2	4	1	1	2		
30	2	2.5	4	1	2	2.5		
35	2.5	3	4	1	2.5	3		
≥40	3	4	4	2	2.5	4		

2. Link methodology (see previous pages)

#### Signalized Intersections

LTS of street is carried through the intersection.