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## HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMITTEE

 MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

HPC Case No. 10/59-00C
HISTORIC AREA WORK PERMIT - :
CONTINUED
26101 Frederick Road
HISTORIC AREA WORK PERMIT - : 10401 Armory Avenue :

-     -         -             -                 -                     -                         -                             -                                 -                                     - X HISTORIC AREA WORK PERMIT - :

HPC Case No. 35/13-00P
1 East Melrose Street
HISTORIC AREA WORK PERMIT - :
HPC Case No. 37/3-00Y
6807 Westmoreland Avenue HISTORIC AREA WORK PERMIT - :

HPC Case No. 37/3-00Z 7209 Cedar Avenue

HPC Case No. 31/6-00F
HISTORIC AREA WORK PERMIT - : 3806 Baltimore Street : HISTORIC AREA WORK PERMIT - : 6100 Connecticut Avenue : PRELIMINARY CONSULTATION - : 28 Hickory Avenue : - - - - - - - - - - . X

PRELIMINARY CONSULTATION - : Master Site Plan \#23/98-2
Olney House, Route \#108 :
Deposition Services, Inc.
A meeting in the above-entitled matter was held on
June 14, 2000, commencing at 7:45 p.m., in the MRO
Auditorium at 8787 Georgia Avenue, Silver Spring, Maryland
20910, before:
COMMITTEE CHAIRMAN
George Kousoulas COMMITTEE MEMBERS
Steven L. Spurlock
Emily Hotaling Eig
Lynne B. Watkins
Susan Velasquez
Marilyn Boyd DeReggi
Douglas A. Harbit
ALSO PRESENT:
Gwen Wright
Robin Ziek
Michele Naru
APPEARANCES
STATEMENT OF: ..... PAGE
ALAN ABRAMS ..... 7
Heritage Building \& Renovation, Inc.
GUS BAUMAN, ESQUIRE ..... 20
Beveridge and Diamond
WARD BUCHER ..... 22
STANLEY BENNING ..... 23
Benning \& Associates, Inc.
JOHN FERGUSON, ESQUIRE ..... 33
Olney Chamber of Commerce
JAMES RICCIUTI ..... 34Ricciuti's Restaurant
ELLIOTT ROSENHEIM ..... 35
Greater Olney Civic Association
BETTE BUFFINGTON40

## PROCEEDINGS

MR. KOUSOULAS: Good evening and welcome to the June 14 th meeting of the Montgomery County Historic Preservation Commission. My name is George Kousoulas and I'm the chairman and I'd like to have our Commission and staff introduce themselves beginning on my left.

MS. DeREGGI: Marilyn Boyd DeReggi, Boyds.
MR. HARBIT: Doug Harbit, Takoma Park.

MS. WATKINS: Lynne Watkins, Silver Spring.
MS. VELASQUEZ: Susan Velasquez, Gaithersburg.
MR. SPURLOCK: Steven Spurlock, Silver Spring.
MS. WRIGHT: Gwen Wright, historic preservation coordinator.

MS. NARU: Michele Naru, historic preservation planner.

MS. ZIEK: Robin Ziek, historic preservation planner.

MR. KOUSOULAS: The first item on the agenda is the historic area work permits. Have these been duly advertised?

MS. ZIEK: They were advertised on June 7th in the Montgomery County Journal.

MR. KOUSOULAS: Is there anyone here to speak in opposition to Cases $A, C, D, E, I, J, L, M, N$, and O? No opposition?

MS. VELASQUEZ: Mr. Chair, I move we approve staff reports on Case No. -- HPC Case No. 35/13-99C in Chevy Chase Village -- approve the staff report; HPC Case No. 37/3-00W in Takoma Park Historic District; Case No. 35/13-00N, Chevy Chase Village Historic District; HPC Case No. 35/13-000, Chevy Chase Village Historic District; Case No. 31/6-00E in Kensington Historic District; Case No. 35/13-00P, Chevy Chase Village Historic District; HPC Case No. 37/3-001, Takoma Park Historic District; HPC Case No. 37/3-00Z, Takoma Park Historic District; Case No. 31/6-00F, Kensington Historic District; and, Case No. 35/13-99B in Chevy Chase Village Historic District.

MS. WATKINS: I second.
MR. KOUSOULAS: All those in favor of the motion, raise your right hand. The motion passes unanimously.

On Case G, I believe, there's a correction to make to that; that there are no conditions as is stated in the staff report?

MS. WRIGHT: That's correct. There's no --
MR. KOUSOULAS: Is there anyone hear to speak in opposition to Case G? No opposition.

MS. VELASQUEZ: Mr. Chairman, I move that we approve a staff report with no conditions in Case No. 30/1398B in Garrett Park Historic District.

MS. WATKINS: I second.


## Montgomery County Department of Park \& Planning

THE MARYLAND-NATIONAL CAPITAL
PARK AND PLANNING COMMISSION

8787 Georgia Avenue
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910-3760
Date: 6-14.00

## MEMORANDUM

TO: Robert Hubbard, Director Department of Permitting Services

FROM: Gwen Wright, Coordinator
Historic Preservation

SUBJECT: Historic Area Work Permit

$\square$
The Montgomery County Historic Preservation Commission has reviewed the attached application for an Historic Area Work Permit. This application was:

Approved
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
and HPC Staff will review and stamp the construction drawings prior to the applicant's applying for a building permit with DPS; and

THE BUILDING PERMIT FOR THIS PROJECT SHALL BE ISSUED CONDITIONAL UPON ADHERENCE TO THE APPROVED HISTORIC AREA WORK PERMIT (HAWP).

Applicant:


Address: Sorzbion $5+$ ' Chen, Clues and subject to the general condition that, after issuance of the Montgomery County Department of Permitting Services (DPS) permit, the applicant arrange for a field inspection by calling the Montgomery County DPS Field Services Office at 240-777-6210 prior to commencement of work and not more than two weeks following completion of work.


## Montgomery County Department of Park \& Planning

THE MARYLAND.NATIONAL CAPITAL PARK AND PLANNLIVG COMMISSION

8787 Georgia Avenue Silver Spring, Maryland 20910.3760

Date: $\qquad$

MEMORANDUM
TO: Historic Area Work Permit Applicants
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { FROM: } & \text { Gwen Wright, Coordinator } \\ & \text { Historic Preservation Section }\end{array}$
SUBJECT: Historic Area Work Permit Application - Approval of Application/Release of Other Required Permits


Enclosed is a copy of your Historic Area Work Permit application, approved by the Historic Preservation Commission at its recent meeting, and a transmittal memorandum stating conditions (if any) of approval.

You may now apply for a county building permit from the Department of Permitting Services (DPS) at 255 Rockville Pike, second floor, in Rockville. Please note that although your work has been approved by the Historic Preservation Commission, it must also be approved by DPS before work can begin.

When you file for your building permit at DPS, you must take with you the enclosed forms, as well as the Historic Area Work Permit that will be mailed to you directly from DPS. These forms are proof that the Historic Preservation Commission has reviewed your project. For further information about filing procedures or materials for your county building permit review, please call DPS at 240-777-6370.

If your project changes in any way from the approved plans, either before you apply for your building permit or even after the work has begun, please contact the Historic Preservation Commission staff at 301-563-3400.

Please also note that you must arrange for a field inspection for conformance with your approved HAWP plans. Please inform DPS/Field Services at 240-777-6210 of your anticipated work schedule.

Thank you very much for your patience and good luck with your project!
c: \hawpapr.wpd

APPLICATION FOR HISTORIC AREA WORK PERMIT conacereser - issue J Governs Daytime Phone No.: 30 i
Tax cant wo: 04216968


Contractor Registration No.:
Agent for Owner: $\qquad$ Robust BeLL Daytime Phone No.: $202-333-8412$

LOCATION OF BUILDING/PREMISE
House Number: $\qquad$ Street: $\qquad$

Lot: $\qquad$ Block: $\qquad$ 25 Subdivision: $\qquad$
Liber: $\qquad$ Folio: $\qquad$ Parcel: $\qquad$
PART ONE: TYPE OF PERMIT ACTION AND USE
1A. CHECK ALL APPLICABLE:
ConstructExtend
$\square$
Alter/RWreck/RazeRevocable
$\qquad$ $300 ; 100$
1B. Construction cost estimate: $\$$
1C. If this is a revision of a previously approved active permit, see Permit \# 9804060227
$\qquad$

PART TWO: COMPLETE FOR NEW CONSTRUCTION AND EXTEND/ADDITIONS
2A. Type of sewage disposal:

1. NSC
$02 \square$ Septic

03Other: $\qquad$
2B. Type of water supply:
01 DiSC
$02[\square$ Well
03 Other: $\qquad$

PART THREE: COMPLETE ONLY FOR FENCE/RETAINING WALL
3A. Height $\qquad$ feet $\qquad$ inches

3B. Indicate whether the fence or retaining wall is to be constructed on one of the following locations:On party line/property lineEntirely on land of ownerOn public right of way/easement

I hereby certify that I have the authority to make the foregoing application, that the application is correct, and that the construction will comply with plans approved by all agencies listed and I hereby acknowledge and accept this to be a condition for the issuance of this permit.


Edit 6/21/99

# THE FOLLOWING ITEMS MUST BE COMPLETED AND THE REQUIRED DOCUMENTS MUST ACCOMPANY THIS APPLICATION. 

## 1. WRITTEN OESCRIPTION OF PROJECI

a. Description of existing structure(s) and environmental setting, including their historical features and significance:

b. General description of project and its effect on the historic resource(s), the environmental setting, and, where applicable, the historic district:



## 2. SITE PLAN

Site and environmental setting, drawn to scale. You may use your plat. Your site plan must include
a. the scale, north arrow, and date;
b. dimensions of all existing and proposed structures; and
c. site leatures such as walkways, driveways, fences, ponds, streams, trash dumpsters, mechanical equipment, and landscaping.

## 3. PLANS AND ELEVATIONS

You must submit 2 copies of plans and elevations in a format no larger than $11^{\prime \prime} \times 17^{\prime \prime}$. Plans on $81 / 2^{\prime \prime} \times 11^{\prime \prime}$ paper are preferred.
a. Schematic construction plans, with marked dimensions, indicating location, size and general type of walls, window and door openings, and other fixed features of both the existing resource(s) and the proposed work.
b. Elevations |facades), with marked dimensions, clearly indicating proposed work in relation to existing construction and, when appropriate, context. All materials and fixtures proposed for the exterior must be noted on the elevations drawings. An axisting and a proposed elevation drawing of each facade affected by the proposed work is required.
4. MATERIALS SPECIFICATIONS

General description of materials and manufactured items proposed for incorporation in the work of the project. This information may be included on your design drawings.

## 5. PHOTOGRAPHS

a. Clearly labeled photographic prints of each facade of existing resource, including details of the affected portions. All labels should be placed on the front of photographs.
b. Clearly label photographic prints of the resource as viewed from the public right-of-way and of the adjoining properties. All labels should be placed on the front of photographs.
6. TREE SURVEY

If ye: are proposing construction adjacent to or within the dripline of any tree $6^{4}$ or larger in diameter (at approximately 4 feet above the ground), you mus file an accurate tree survey identifying the size, location, and species of each tree of at least that dimension.

## 7. AODRESSES OF ADJACENT ANO CONFRONTING PROPERTY OWNERS

For ALL projects, provide an accurate list of adjacent and confronting property owners (not tenants), including names, addresses, and zip codes. This list should include the owners of all lots or parcels which adjoin the parcel in question, as well as the owner(s) of lot(s) or parcells) which lie directly across the street/highway from the parcel in question. You can obtain this information from the Department of Assessments and Taxation, 51 Monroe Street, Rockville, (301/279.1355).
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PERLE/BARR RESIDENCE

SGRAPTON STREET CHEVY CHASR MARYLAND

ROBERT BELL ARCHITECTS
3230 'P- STREET, NW. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20007




ROBERT BELL ARCHITECTS
3230 "P STREET, NW, WASHINGTON, D.C. 20007




PERLE/BARR
To: 1-301-563-3412
Fax Number: 1-301-563-3412
Date: Tuesday, June 13, 2000
Time: $\quad 2: 34: 19 \mathrm{PM}$

## Message:

The following are the comments by the Chevy Chase Village LAP for HPC Agenda Items for 6/14/00:
p A 3 Magnolia Pkw,
Robbins-Evans Residence
Non-contributing resource
Front alterations - revision for wood-framed windows
Staff recommendation: approval
the CCV LAP concurs with the staff recommendation to approve without conditions. The change to prior plan is not significant.

P D. 5 Grafton, Poole-Barr Residence Contributing resource a change to a previously (1998) approved front facade Staff recommendation: approval The LAP concurs with staff recommendation for approval without conditions. The changes from the prior approval are minor and generally help the facade.

## $\mathcal{P}$ J. 1 East Melrose, Asher Residence <br> Contributing Resource

replace existing chain-link and panel fence with $6^{\prime} 1 \times 6$ board on board fence
Staff recommendation: expedited approval
The LAP concurs that this is a good candidate for an expedited approval. Applicant is reminded that they must also secure approval from Chew Chase Village Board of Managers for fences.
$1<. \quad .6100$ Connecticut, CC Country Club
Primary resource
remove $8^{\prime} \times 11$ paving area and replace with planting bed
Staff recommendation: Expedited approval
The LAP concurs with Staff recommendation

Montgomery County Department of Park and Planning

THE MARYLAND-NATIONAL CAPITAL
PARK AND PLANNING COMMISSION

8787 Georgia Avenue
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910-3760

FAX TRANSMITTAL SHEET
Historic Preservation Section Department of Park \& Planning

Telephone Number: (301) 563-3400
Fax Number: (301) 563-3412

$\qquad$ FAX NUMBER: $\qquad$ 2023335150 FROM: T. $H$ hart
DATE: 6. $70<\pi$
NUMBER OF PAGES INCLUDING THIS TRANSMITTAL SHEET:
NOTE:
also

form, all plans, photos, nemos-


If you have questions, comments.
I doric shins u you nad to attend unless
the Chary Chase folks have issues w/ titus recommendation witch is not letalinwill bet you know if then do-

## HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION STAFF REPORT



## BACKGROUND

In 1998, shortly before the historic district was designated, the applicant applied for a building permit for modifications to the front facade that included enlarging the house to three stories with third-floor bay windows on the side and a hipped, full-width front gable in place of the existing dormer. A letter from HPC staff is included which indicates that the changes did not constitute substantial alteration as the property had already been altered from the original over the years. The permitted changes are shown as "Approved 1998 Elevation".

## PROPOSAL

The applicant proposes to modify the form of the house from a Bungalow to a front gable, neo-Dutch Colonial. The roof is proposed to be raised to allow for a full second-story. A second-story veranda is proposed over the existing full-width front porch. Two pairs of multipaned french doors with a segmental transom are proposed to lead to the veranda at the center of the front gable. Two 8 -light windows are also proposed for that facade.

## STAFF DISCUSSION

The subject property qualified as a contributing resource in the historic district despite substantial changes made to the structure over the years. The attribution may have been because
the property contributed to the overall character of the streetscape, and was in a common or ubiquitous architectural style that is important to the historic district. The guidelines for the district state, "Contributing resources add to the overall streetscape due to their size, scale, and architectural character."

Although the property has over the years lost much of its original material, its size and scale are still in keeping with the district and the streetscape. The current proposal would also be within the appropriate size and scale for the surrounding streetscape.

Most noticeably, the proposed modifications to the front facade, which are clearly seen from the public right-of-way, change the architectural character from a residence that looks like the Bungalow Style to one that looks more like a Dutch Colonial Style. Both styles, however, are commonly seen throughout the historic district in original and modified iterations, and both are compatible with the surrounding streetscape.

In staff's opinion, the proposed changes can be viewed as an example of "evolving eclecticism, which necessitates substantial deference to the judgment, creativity and individuality of Village residents".

It should not, however, be seen as a precedent for future applications for changes to front facades on contributing resources within the historic district. In this case, the loss of original materials before the property was designated is unusually severe, and the integrity of the property has already been substantially compromised.

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that the Commission approve the HAWP revision as being consistent with Chapter 24A-8(b)2:

The proposal is compatible in character and nature with the historical, archeological, architectural or cultural features of the historic site or the historic district in which an historic resource is located and would not be detrimental thereto or to the achievement of the purposes of this chapter,
and with the Secretary of the Interior Guideline \#10:

New additions and adjacent or related new construction will be undertaken in such a manner that, if removed in the future, the essential form and integrity of the historic property and its environment would be unimpaired.
with the general condition applicable to all Historic Area Work Permits that the applicant shall present any permit sets of drawings to HPC staff for review and stamping prior to submission for permits and shall arrange for a field inspection by calling the Montgomery County Department of Permitting Services (DPS), Field Services Office, five days prior to commencement of work and within two weeks following completion of work.

HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION
APPLICATION FOR HISTORIC AREA WORK PERMIT cmatream - -issus, J Bores

Daytime Phone No.: $\qquad$

Taxaceant No: 04216968


Contractor Registration No.:
Agent for Owner: $\qquad$ Robert bela. Daytime Phone No.: 702-333-8412

LOCATION OF BUILDING/PREMISE


1C. If this is a revision of a previously approved active permit, see Permit \# $\qquad$ 9804060227

PART TWO: COMPLETE FOR NEW CONSTRUCTION AND EXTEND/ADDITIONS
2A. Type of sewage disposal:
01 NSC
$02 \square$ Septic
031 Other: $\qquad$
2B. Type of water supply:
01 bTVSSC
$02 \square$ Well
$03 \square$
Other: $\qquad$
PART THREE: COMPLETE ONLY FOR FENCE/RETAINING WALL
3A. Height $\qquad$ feet $\qquad$ inches

3B. Indicate whether the fence or retaining wall is to be constructed on one of the following locations:
[.] On party line/property!ineEntirely on land of ownerDin public right of way/easement

I hereby certify that I have the authority to make the foregoing application, that the application is correct, and that the construction will comply with plans approved by all agencies listed and I hereby acknowledge and accept this to be a condition for the issuance of this permit.


Approved: $\qquad$ For Chairperson, Historic Preservation Commission

Disapproved: $\qquad$ Signature $\qquad$ Date: $\qquad$
Application/Permit No.: $\qquad$ 90 Date Filed: $\qquad$ 512410 Date Issued: $\qquad$

# THE FOLLOWING ITEMS MUST BE COMPLETED AND THE REQUIRED DOCUMENTS MUST ACCOMPANY THIS APPLICATION. 

## 1. WRITTEN DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT

a. Description of existing structure (s) and environmental setting, including their historical features and significance:

b. General description of project and its effect on the historic resource (s), the environmental setting, sid, where applicable, the historic district:


## 2. SITE PLAN

Site and environmental setting, drawn to scale. You may use your plat. Your site plan must include:
a. the scale, north arrow, and date;
b. dimensions of all existing and proposed structures; and
c. site features such as walkways, driveways, fences, ponds, streams, trash dumpsters, mechanical equipment, and landscaping.

## 3. PLANS AND ELEVATIONS

You must submit 2 copies of plans and elevations in a format no larger than $11^{\prime \prime} \times 17^{\prime \prime}$. Plans on $81 / 2^{\prime \prime} \times 11^{\prime \prime}$ paper are preferred.
a. Schematic construction plans, with marked dimensions, indicating location, size and general type of walls, window and door openings, and other fixed features of both the existing resources) and the proposed work.
b. Elevations (facades), with marked dimensions, clearly indicating proposed work in relation to existing construction and, when appropriate, context. All materials and fixtures proposed for the exterior must be noted on the elevations drawings. An existing and a proposed elevation drawing of each facade affected by the proposed work is required.

## 4. MATERIALS SPECIFICATIONS

General description of materials and manufactured items proposed for incorporation in the work of the project. This information may be included on your design drawings.
5. PHOTOGRAPHS
a. Clearly labeled photographic prints of each facade of existing resource, including details of the affected portions. All labels should be placed on the front of photographs.
b. Clearly label photographic prints of the resource as viewed from the public right-of-way and of the adjoining properties. All labels should be placed on the front of photographs.
6. TREE SURVEY

If ye: are proposing construction adjacent to or within the dripline of any tree $6^{\prime \prime}$ or larger in diameter (at approximately 4 feet above the ground), you muser file an accurate tree survey identifying the size, location, and species of each tree of at least that dimension.
7. ADDRESSES OF ADJACENT AND CONFRONTING PROPERTY OWNERS

For ALL projects, provide an accurate list of adjacent and confronting property owners (not tenants), including names, addresses, and zip codes. This list should include the owners of all lots or parcels which adjoin the parcel in question, as well as the owner(s) of lots) or parcels) which lie directly across the streethighway from the parcel in question. You can obtain this information from the Department of Assessments and Taxation, 51 Monroe Street, Rockville, (301/279-1355).

PLEASE PRINT (IN BLUE OR BLACK INK) OR TYPE THIS INFORMATION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGE. PLEASE STAY WITHIN THE GUIDES DF THE TEMPLATE, AS THIS WILL BE PHOTOCOPIED DIRECTLY DNTD MAILING LABELS.
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## PERLE/BARR RESIDENCE

ROBERT BELL ARCHITECTS
rbell@erols.com
t. 202-333-8412
f. 202-333-5150

May 19, 2000

## Perry Kephart

Montgomery County HPRB staff
t. 301-5633400
f. 3015633412

Dear Ms. Kephart.

Two years ago I applied for a remodeling of 3 Grafton Street in Chevy Chase village. At the time we were planning a third story addition as well as the remodeling of the front of the residence. You reviewed the building application and approved it at that time. I am enclosing our correspondence of the time.

The clients have cut back on their scope of work and therefore the new design does not contain the third foor addition. Other than that the façade remains essentially the same as the approved 1998 design. Since the existing facade, which I designed in 1987, does not quallify for historic preservation, 1 hope that we can work this out without further hearings of complications.

Please let me know you thoughts.

Sincerely yours,
Robert Bell


# ROBERT BELL. ARCHITECTS 

3230 P Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 2007 rbellacerols.com

1. 2012-333-8412
2. 202-333-51s11

Namath 30.1998

## Perm Kephat

Nomgomen County HPRB staff
$1301-503-3+00$
$1301-503-3+12$

Dear Ms Kophatr.
Enclosed is a set of photos from 1978 before its present remodeling Unfortunately the I do not have ans plows in the office of the present elevations. But I have enclosed our drawing of that elevation th well as the elevation showing the second addition to the structure made in 1987 Which ass altered the front facade by adding a wing equal to $2 / 3$ of the width of the original. The house has new be remodeled where well over $75 \%$ of the house has been changed. 1 am 1]:ncred that the original historic staff report had mistaken the present facade the originat-but I would hate to have to defined changing the 1978 facade I had designed- it would make me feel gris tow old

Ii his is not sufficient information please call me and I will drive out there to photograph it this lunch time

Sincerely sums.


Robert it Bell

Montgomery County Department of Park and Planning<br>the maryland national capital PARK AND PLANNING COMMISSION<br>87. Viordu Alma<br>

March 30, 1998

## MEMORANDUM

TO: Tootle Shipley, Department of Permitting Services
FROM:
RE:


5 Grafton Street, Chevy Chase

We were contacted by Robed Bell, the owner of the Bungalow at 5 Grafton Street, after my meeting with you to discuss proposed plans for alterations that he had submitted to your department.

He has demonstrated to our satisfaction that the front facade of the resource has already been significantly altered and much of the material is not original Pictures of the building from 1978 and drawings from 1979 and 1989 confirm that the changes to the front facade, the windows. the front dormer and the overall massing of the property are extensive.

We would recommend that the changes to the property that he is currently proposing not be considered substantial alterations to a historic resource.

Thank you for your patience with this matter. Plage call me at 301-563-3400 if you have any questions.
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Montgomery County Department of Park and Planning

THE MARYLAND-NATIONAL CAPITAL
PARK AND PLANNING COMMISSION

8787 Georgia Avenue
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910-3760

FAX TRANSMITTAL SHEET
Historic Preservation Section Department of Park \& Planning

Telephone Number: (301) 563-3400
Fax Number: (301) 563-3412


FROM: $\qquad$ FAX NUMBER: $\qquad$ 202.333 .5150 Robs Zee

DATE: $5-22-00$
NUMBER OF PAGES INCLUDING THIS TRANSMITTAL SHEET:


NOTE:

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

| HP LaserJet 3100 | FAX ACTIVITY REPORT for |
| :--- | :--- |
| Printer/Fax/Copier/Scanner | MNCPPC ANNEX |
|  | 3016504371 |
|  | May-22-00 $3: 22 P M$ |
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## Facsimile Transmittal

| To: | Robbin | Fax: $301-563-34 r$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| From: | Robert Bell | Data: |
| Fo: | 5 Grafton Street -present elevation | Pages: to follow |

CC:


$$
5 \text { QRaston }
$$


t. 301-563 3400
f. 3015633412

Dear Ms. Kephart,

Two years ago I applied for a remodeling of 5 Grafton Street in Chevy Chase village. At the time we were planaing a third story addition as well as the remodeling of the front of the residence. You reviewed the buidding application and approved it at that time. I am enclosing our correspondence of the time.

The cllents have cut back on their scope of work and therefore the new design does not contain the third floor addition. Other than that the façade remalns easeatially the same as the approved 1998 design. Since the existing façade, which I designed in 1987, does not qualify for historic preservation, I hope that we can work this out without further heartags of complications.

Please fet me know you thoughts.





# Montgomery County Department of Park and Planning 

THE MARYLAND.N.ATIONAL CAPITAL FARK ANO PLANNING COMMISSION
87. G̈rorgua Avenue

Silve Spring. Maviand 20910-3760
March 30, 1998

## MEMORANDUM

TO: Tootle Shipley, Department of Permitting Services


RE:
5 Grafton Streer, Chevy Chase

We were contacted by Robert Bell, the owner of the Bungalow at $S$ Grafton Street, after my meeting with you to discuss proposed plans for alterations that he had submitted to your department.

He has demonstrated to our satisfaction that the front facade of the resource has already been significantly altered and much of the material is not original. Pictures of the building from 1978 and drawings from 1979 and 1989 confirm that the changes to the front facade, the windows, the front dormer and the overall massing of the property are extensive.

We would recommend that the changes to the property that he is currently proposing not be considered substantial alterations to a historic resource.

Thank you for your patience with this matiter. Please call me at 301-563-3400 if you have, any questions.



ROBERT BELL • ARCHITECTS 3230 P Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 2007 rbell(G)erols.com t. 202-333-9412 f. 202.333-5150

March 30, 1998

## Perry Kephart

Montgomery County HPRB staff

1. 301-563-3400
f. 301-563-3412

Dear Ms. Kephart,
Enclosed is a set of photos from 1978 before its present remodeling. Unfortunately the I do not have any photos in the office of the present elevations. But I have enclosed our drawing of that elevation as well as the elevation showing the second addition to the structure made in 1987 which also altered the front facade by adding a wing equal to $2 / 3$ of the width of the original. The house has new be remodeled where well over $75 \%$ of the house has been changed. I am flattered that the original historic staff report had mistaken the present facade $\alpha$ the original-but I would hate to have to defined changing the 1978 facade I had designed- it would make me feel just too old

If this is not sufficient information please call me and I will drive out there to photograph it this lunch time.

Sincerely yours,



## ROBERT BELL ARCHITECTS

rbell@erols.com
t. 202-331-8412
f. 202-333-5150

## Ferry Kephart

Montgomery County HPRB staff
t. 301-563 3400
f. $\mathbf{3 0 1 5 6 3} \mathbf{3 4 1 2}$

Dear M8. Kephart,

Two years ago I applied for a remodeling of 5 Grafton Street in Chevy Chase village. At the time we were planning a third story addition as well as the remodeling of the front of the residence. You reviewed the building application and approved it at that time. I am enclosing our correspondence of the time.

The clients have cut back on their scope of work and therefore the new design does not contain the third floor addition. Other than that the facade remains essentially the same as the approved 1998 design. Since the existing facade, which I designed in 1987, does not qualify for historic preservation, I hope that we can work this out without further hearings of complications.

Please let me know you thoughts.

Sincerely yours,






Montgomery County Department of Park and Planning

IHE MARYLAND.NATIONAL CAPITAL
Park and planining commission

87: Georgia Avenue
Silver Spring. Marvand $20910-3760$
March 30, 1998

## MEMORANDUM

TO: Tootle Shipley, Department of Permitting Services
FROM:
RE:
5 Grafton Street, Chevy Chase

We were contacted by Robert Bell, the owner of the Bungalow at $S$ Grafton Street, after my meeting with you to discuss proposed plans for alterations that he had submitted to your department.

He has demonstrated to our satisfaction that the front facade of the resource has already boen significantly altered and much of the material is not original. Pictures of the building from 1978 and drawings from 1979 and 1989 confirm that the changes to the fort facade, the windows, the front dormer and the overall massing of the property are extensive.

We would recommend that the changes to the property that he is currently proposing not be considered substantial alterations to a historic resource.

Thank you for your patience with this matter. Plnase call me at 301-563-3400 if you have any questions.



# ROBERT BELL • ARCHITECTS <br> 3230 P Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 2007 rbell@erols.com t. 202-333-8412 t. 207.333-5150 

March 30, 1998

Perry Kephart<br>Montgomery County HPRB staff

t. 301-563-3400
f. 301-563-3412

## Dear Ms. Kephart,

Enclosed is a set of photos from 1978 before its present remodeling. Unfortunately the I do not have any photos in the office of the present elevations. But I have enclosed our drawing of that elevation as well as the elevation showing the second addition to the structure made in 1987 which also altered the front facade by adding a wing equal to $2 / 3$ of the width of the original. The house has new be remodeled where well over $75 \%$ of the house has been changed. I am flattered that the original historic staff report had mistaken the present facade $\propto$ the original- but I would hate to have to defined changing the 1978 facade I had designed- it would make me feel just too old.

If this is not sufficient information please call me and I will drive out there to photograph it this lunch time.

Sincerely yours,

[^0]












[^0]:    Robert A. Bell

