

HPC Comments
Gerge - entry contin Mixbletm -
Theater-Genge din't like it back tor fre - He's not That concerned abut he $2^{\text {ms }}$ parapet wouls the shructure of at street. See "The Metropolitan" -
Sady, 1 ss praget Remamer intrect.
Sickshaner be
Blindish, light but nor the sam


Trm: Wikes mire 7 a se tback than zo:
He doemit like the nees blof - wants mire deferance to the Therter
Proserve Re alleiway fucade. Domutimn bellosdo is losing two mich.
Paule: Shid like flotor, nure streme linal structure.
Hhet: Ggreer of fanla. For setback, womed prefer more set back.

 Mones theater look like hot el lobby.

 Ine Suth smatimat preatec = Stor ZSlors.
Emily - Supponts oft dew sociaty - Setbrate shed be baek. Preanation itue shuld domina So to 2 m progest wach. What; he rativale to come frowit? De parut wail is a durrative fentwe. Dooinn = Mme Moterne, steandived. Srick Suald $h$ rin. 1 nt $n+R$. san..

Quily - height is 90 'aconal Heght Quen st is 143 ! (he ODcame diz.) Casiter rebuildng prazet wall to the new setback.

Dands: Manpe zo'ok. but ne's undecoble.. Nav design surpontos he Murter .-

Cenge suzg: Make ypur cose for zo'setback.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { FAK \# } \\
9-301-986-1941 \\
\text { cinda lyos }
\end{gathered}
$$



# TESTIMONY PRESENTED TO 

## HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION OF MONTGOMERY COUNTY

## ON THE BETHESDA THEATER

by Linda B. Lyons, Preservation Chair
June 11, 1977

My name is Linda Lyons, and I am here as Chair of the Preservation Committee of the Art Deco Society of Washington, a nonprofit organization incorporated to serve the Washington metropolitan area by fostering awareness and appreciation of the Art Deco period and preserving for the public the decorative, industrial and cultural arts and architecture of that era. I am also a resident of Montgomery County.

You have before you tonight a preliminary consultation on a site that has been the subject of much discussion, debate, negotiation, and legislation in the past. Although it is my intent to focus on the current proposal for the Bethesda Theater site, we cannot ignore earlier schemes that the Art Deco Society was asked to review in past years. These will be referred to as I comment on three aspects of the current project: 1) the proposed setback of the new construction above the theater to only $20^{\prime}$ from the building line, 2) the apparent plan to resurface much of the theater in new materials, 3 ) and additional details that we believe do not serve the goals of historic preservation.

The Art Deco Society is primarily concerned about the 20 ' setback, which we feel will obscure the identity of this historic resource and make it seem encapsulated into the new construction or, at best, a decorative appendage. The view from Wisconsin Avenue presents two parapet walls, one directly behind the marquee and tower, the second approximately $50^{\prime}$ back where the auditorium walls rise above the entrance, marquee, and lobby of the theater. Both walls are faced with blond brick and have decorative details of horizontal black stripes and, on the second wall, toothed brick. We believe that the second wall is a better place for the new construction to rise above the theater, just as the auditorium roof does now. Indeed, this was the setback under discussion for many years, including (according to our files) during the development and finalization of the Bethesda Central Business District Master Plan, approved in 1994.

I am presenting to you copies of section drawings of the of the proposed complex from: (A) the owner's current presentation, (B) a set prepared by the owner's architect in 1993 for discussions in which the Art Deco Society participated, and (C) the final draft of the

Bethesda CBD Master Plan. Frankly, I don't think we are seeing or hearing anything tonight that suggests or justifies moving the setback forward of the second parapet. Not so long ago, it was agreed by all concerned that this was the best location for the new construction. Projects like this succeed when the new construction is joined to the historic building in the smallest way possible. From the street, the project should appear as two buildings that were built at different times but exist in adjacent harmony. The new construction should not "swallow" the historic resource. This would be best accomplished by keeping the setback at the second parapet wall.

In addition, there has been some discussion of the urban character or "urban design perspective" of the future Bethesda and whether a 20 setback will make a better presentation to the street. I doubt it. The real urban element of this finished project will be its authentic Art Deco movie theater. (How very fortunate downtown Bethesda is to have one!) It will be more "urban" for the new construction above the theater to be respectful and spring from the second parapet than to be pulled forward and compete with it.

Our second concern is the apparent resurfacing of the theater building. It is never good historic preservation to make the new and the original appear to be seamless or indistinguishable. It is not honest, and it is not "urban." This is particularly true in the alley on the south side of the theater that is to become a pedestrian walkway. Here is where the viewer sees most clearly how the theater was thought out and constructed. The shift to utilitarian red brick (another indication of the appropriateness of the second-parapet setback) signals that although "The Show Starts on the Sidewalk," to paraphrase a recent architectural history of movie theaters, there is also a "back of the house." Now that this alley is itself to become a sidewalk, it can be a place to tell the story of the theater's latest adventure. A careful choice of surfacing materials, either the rehabilitated originals or sympathetic replacements, can reveal and explain the new construction. The Staff Report's suggestion of carrying the red brick into the new construction is a good place to start.

Our third concern rests primarily in design details that we see in the view drawings presented by the owner, one of which I have copied onto my handout sheet (D). While I am in no way endorsing the 20 setback, I note that in this rendering, a portion of the first parapet wall seems to have been obliterated, raising concerns about how the final design of the project will be handled. As an example, you can see in section drawing (A) and a photograph copied from the Bethesda CBD Master Plan ( E ) that the first parapet wall ends in stylish curving returns at the theater entrance edges, in front of the proposed $20^{\prime}$ setback. However, in the view drawing (D), the returns have disappeared in an apparent effort to make the original building part of the new construction. Again, I express our view that this project should not swallow, encapsulate, or obscure the Bethesda Theater building, but rather make it the "star of the show" and honor it. This particular comment is just an example of others that could be made about design details that tend to obscure the distinction between the original theater building and the new construction. These do not serve the goals of historic preservation and will not produce a successful product.
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October 21, 1998

TO: Robin Ziek<br>Historic Preservation Section, Montgomery County Department of Park \& Planning<br>FROM: Nancy Baird, Esq.<br>General Counsel for The Art Deco Society of Washington, Inc.

## Re: Bethesda Theatre

As we discussed during a phone conversation a little over a month ago, The Art Deco Society of Washington, Inc. ("ADSW") is concerned that the Consent Order (as defined below) seems to have taken on a meaning and an importance totally out of proportion to the actual words set forth therein. We urge the decision-makers and staff at all levels of the Montgomery County government to reread the actual words of the Consent Order and make certain that decisions are not made on the assumption that the Consent Order somehow "obligates" the County to take any particular action with respect to the Bethesda Theatre.

## BACKGROUND INFORMATION

On July 8, 1986, the Montgomery County Council, sitting as District Council (the "Council"), adopted Resolution No. 10-2065 amending the Historic Preservation Master Plan in order to designate the Bethesda Theatre Complex (the "Theatre") as an historic resource. The owner, Beta Corporation ("Beta"), appealed the Council's decision. The lawsuit was settled by means of a consent order dated February 4, 1988, a copy of which is attached (the "Consent Order").

The Consent Order consists of three sentences. It states that the "intent of Council Resolution No. 10-2065 was to designate the entire building and site [of the Theatre] but not to require the Historic Preservation Commission, in the future exercise of its discretion, to preserve the entire building or require its continued use as a theater." The second sentence describes the Council's intent to preserve the facade, marquee, and marquee tower in the event of redevelopment. The final
sentence recognizes that the Historic Preservation Commission ("HPC") does not have the authority to require that the Theatre continue to be used as a theater or that its interior features be preserved.

Although the Consent Order simply recognizes the power delegated to the Council and the HPC, respectively, by Montgomery County's historic preservation law, and makes a non-binding statement of the Council's intent, there have been indications that some persons view the Consent Order as binding the County in some way with respect to its treatment of the Theatre. For example, the Bethesda Central Business District Sector Plan adopted in July of 1994 states: "In the event of redevelopment, the [Theatre's] tower, marquee, and facade must be retained, as stipulated by the County Council in a Consent Order following designation." Section 9.4, Historic Resources Plan, Master Plan Sites, Bethesda Theatre Complex, p. 242 (emphasis added). This statement is repeated in the 11/24/97 Opinion of the Montgomery County Planning Board approving Project Plan \#9-97001, Bethesda Theatre Residential.

As we discussed during our phone conversation, ADSW is of the opinion that the Consent Order does not and cannot bind the Council, the HPC or the Planning Board in any way.

## DISCUSSION

## I. THE PLAIN LANGUAGE OF THE CONSENT ORDER DOES NOT BIND THE COUNCL OR THE HPC IN ANY WAY.

The Consent Order is essentially three sentences. The first two sentences simply state the "intent" of the Council when it designated the Bethesda Theatre an historic resource, and its "intent" that the facade, marquee and marquee tower be preserved in the event of redevelopment. A mere statement of "intent" does not and cannot bind a party with respect to future action. The third sentence is just an accurate statement of the historic preservation law in Montgomery County, Maryland - i.e., that the HPC does not have the authority under Chapter 24-A to require retention of the Theatre's use as a theater or its interior features. The Consent Order appears to be a face-saving, do-nothing way to exit a lawsuit for which there was no basis in the first place.

## II. EVEN IF THE LANGUAGE OF THE CONSENT ORDER WAS MEANT TO RESTRICT THE COUNCIL OR THE HPC, THE COUNCIL DID NOT

HAVE THE LEGAL AUTHORITY TO AGREE TO ANY RESTRICTIONS ON THE COUNCIL OR THE HPC'S DECISIONS REGARDING THE BETHESDA THEATRE.
A. The Maryland Constitution and State enabling legislation place limits on designation criteria.

The enabling legislation which grants authority to Montgomery County government (the "County") to enact a historic preservation law only authorizes the County to designate historic structures "provided that the criteria for the designation or identification is not inconsistent with the criteria applicable to the Maryland Historical Trust under Article 83B, §5-605 [sic] of the Annotated Code of Maryland. Pursuant to Article 83B, §5-615, the Maryland Register of Historic Properties "shall include all properties listed in or determined by the Director to be eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places by the United States Department of the Interior." An application for listing in the National Register can only be made for an entire building - portions of building, such as facades or towers, will not be considered.

Therefore, even if it wanted to limit the designation of the Theatre to certain portions of the existing building, the Council did not have the authority or legal power to designate only the facade, marquee and marquee tower of the Theatre since that would be inconsistent with the criteria applicable to MHT.
B. Chapter 24-A authorizes (1) the Planning Board to consider the designation of historic resources and (2) the Historic Preservation Commission to make decisions regarding alterations to designated resources.

Montgomery County's historic preservation law clearly divides the responsibility for designating buildings as historic resources in the first instance, and, once a building has been designated, deciding what exterior alterations may be made by an owner in the second instance, between the Planning Board and the HPC, respectively. Section 24A-3 states that there shall be a master plan for historic preservation, and specifies the criteria that the Planning Board must apply when considering historic resources for designation.

Sections 24A-4 and 24A-5 create the HPC and set forth its powers and duties. While many of HPC's responsibilities are framed as researching, recom-
mending, reviewing or advising, in one area it is the decision maker. Section 24A5 (c) states that the HPC has the power and duty to "act upon applications for historic area work permits." Historic area work permits are required before an owner may do any "constructing, reconstructing, moving, relocating, demolishing or in any manner modifying, changing or altering the exterior features of any historic site." Section 24A-6(c)(1).

The procedures HPC must follow are spelled out in Section 24A-7. Although it is clear that the Planning Board is to have an opportunity to review an application for an historic area work permit and provide the HPC with its comments, Section 24A-7(d), there is no provision in Chapter 24A which indicates that the HPC must agree with or follow the comments provided by the Planning Board. ${ }^{1}$ Rather, Section 24A-8 sets forth the criteria which the HPC is required to follow in deciding whether to direct the issuance or denial of an historic area work permit. The HPC, and the HPC alone, must make certain specific findings listed in Section 24A-8(b) before it may "instruct the director [of the Department of Permitting Services] to issue a permit, or issue a permit subject to [necessary] conditions." While the HPC may certainly listen to whatever wisdom the Planning Board has to offer, it is not constrained in any way to follow the wishes (much less the "intent") of either the Planning Board or the Council, or give the comments of the Planning Board any deference at all. Therefore, neither the County Council nor the Planning Board has the power to require the HPC to act in any particular manner when it makes decisions with respect to the alterations it will allow in connection with an application for an historic area work permit for the Theatre.

## III. THE 1988 COUNCIL DID NOT HAVE THE POWER TO RESTRICT A FUTURE COUNCIL IN THE EXERCISE OF ITS POWER.

It is a long accepted legal principal that, with rare exceptions, a legislative body does not have the power to prevent itself from taking or refraining from taking any action in the future. Attempts by a legislative body, such as the Council, to do so are null and void. Therefore, even if the Council had intended in 1988 to bind itself to a certain outcome on a future decision, it did not have the authority to do so. See, e.g., Indiana v. Brand. Trustee, 303 U.S. 95, 107-108 (1938).

[^0]IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

| IN RE: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| APPEAL FROM DECISION OF THE |  |
| MONTGOMERY COUNTY COUNCIL, |  |
| SITTING AS THE DISTRICT COUNCİ |  |
| APPROVING THE FINAL DRAFT |  |
| AMENDMENT TO THE HISTORIC |  |
| PRESERVATION MASTER PLAN FOR THE |  |
| DESIGNATION OF BETHESDA CENTRAL |  |
| BUSINESS DISTRICT RESOURCES, |  |
| DATED 1985, DESIGNATING THE |  |
| BETHESDA THEATRE COMPLEX |  |
| (CINEMA 'N' DRAETHOUSE), |  |
| SITE \#35/14-4 |  |

## CONSENT ORDER

Upon consideration of the pleadings and record in the above-captioned case, and by consent of the parties, it is this 4 th day of Solnabey, 1988 , by the circuit court for Montgomery County, Maryland,

ORDERED that the intent of Council Resolution No.

10-2065 was to designate the entire building and site but not to require the Historic Preservation Commission, in the future exarcise of its discretion, to preserve the entire building or require its continued use as a theater. The Council's intent, in the event of redevelopment, whether under the standard or optional method, was to preserve the facade, marquee and marquee tower and to provide a basis for architectural review of any new conscruction on the site in order to control the environmental setting of the facade, marquee and tower. The retention of the
building's use as a theater or its interior features is not within the jurisdiction of the Historic Preservation Commission.
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Historic Preservation Section
Department of Park \& Planning
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to: Perry German fax number: $\frac{301.656 .3978}{491.45}$ from: Robin Ziek phone number: 301.495-4570 DATE: $\quad 10-14-97$
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| A | - B. . | C | D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | V |  |  |  |  |  | B | AC. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BETHES | DA THEA | TER | AF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \%\% |  |  | 㭗积 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Jul | Jul | Jul | Jul | Jul | Aug | Aug | Aug | Aug | Sep | Sep | Sep | Sep | Sep | Oct | Oct | Oct | Oct | Nov | Nov | Nov | Nov | Dec | Dec | Dec | Dec |
| Process | Step/Event | Duration | const | 1 | 7 | 14 | 21 | 28 | 4 | 11 | 18 | 25 | 1 | 8 | 15 | 22 | 29 | 6 | 13 | 20 | 27 | 3. | 10 | 17 | 24 | 1 | 8 | 15 | 22 |
| Pre-Prelim | Submit | 60 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Both) | DRCM |  |  |  |  |  |  | 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7.97036 | Staff Rept |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| J. Davis | PB Hearing(op | tional) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Preliminary | Submit | 60 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (CBD Part) | Start |  | 9 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.97104 | DRCM |  | 9 |  |  |  |  | 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| J. Davis | Staff Rept |  | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | PB hearing |  | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 85 |  | ame | nd in | pro | cess | $s$ to | incl | de | PD | part; |  |  |  |
| Abandon | Submit | 180 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | d in s | site P | plan | for c | cond | urre | nt pp | p/sp | rev | ew |  |  |
| R. Welke | Transmittal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Staff Rep/res. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | PB Hearing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 1! | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | DPWT Hear'g |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | MCC Hear'g |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 |  |
|  | Resolution |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | рjec | to 2 | zonin | ga ap | ppro | val |  |
| PD Zoning | Submit HE | 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (PD Part) | Transmit |  | 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G-749 | DRCM |  | 21 |  |  |  |  | 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B. Landfair | Staff Rept |  | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | PB Hearing |  | 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | PB recomm. |  | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | HE Hearing |  | 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Close record |  | 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | HE Opinion |  | 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | MCC Action |  | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proj. Plan | Submit | 60 to 90 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (CBD) | Post Sign | 3 | 31 |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.97001 | Notice Hear. | 15 | 31 |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M. Ma | Forums |  |  |  |  |  | 21 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | DRCM |  | 31 |  |  |  |  | 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Staff Rept | 15 | 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Reciepts | 15 | 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cert. Post'g | 0 | 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\therefore$ |
|  | PB Hearing |  | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | PB Opinion |  | 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 29 |  | S | ubje | ct to | late | r zo | ning | app | prova | al |  |  |
| HPC Rev. | Submit |  | Apr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R. Ziek | Notice |  | May |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Prelim Cons. |  | May |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | HPC Hearing |  | SP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | HAW | WP H | eari | ng | fter | Site | Plan | n Re | view |  |
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Project Plen documeats I was sent) would apt be aceeprable to the comarission Thus, I would like to Know mopre about how the Planning Boand staff will approach this matucr and to discuss it with you. As far ts we are concemed, this is the mons inportant iseve in shis project.

Another cooccem we exprossed to the HPC is the appasent reswriacing of the theater building It is never good historic preservation to make the new und the original appear to be seanaless or indistinguishable. It is not honeat, and it is aot "worban." This is particulesty truc in the alley on the south side of the theater that is to becpome a podestrien walkway. Here is where fie viewer sees mosi clearify how the thenter was renought oret und constructed. The shitt to utilitarian red trick (axolber indication of the appropriateness of the seechd-parapet seebock) sigrals that althought "The Show Steants on ihe SYde wall," to paraphrage a recen thehitectural history of movie theaters, there is also a "buck of the house." Now that this alley is itelf colbecone a sidewalk, it can be a place to tell une story of the theater's latcest atventure. A careful choico of surfacing materials, either the rehabilitated aigitats or sympathetic replacsoucnts, can reveal and explain

Similarly, we arc conserned about derign detrils that we sce in the viow drawings presented by the owner. While 1 am in no way endorsing the $20-5001$ setback, I ante that in some renderings, a portion of the first parupel wall seems to bave been oblitorated, raising conccras about how the final desiges of the project will be handed. The first parapet wall ands in stylish curvings returas at the theoder antrance edges, in front of the proposed 20-ficot setback. However, in a "View from Wisconsim Averuc" drawing, the returns have distippeted in an apparent eftort io make the original building part of the new construction. This perticedar commeat is just un exampic of others that rould be made about derigu details that tend io obecurp the distinction between libe original theater buibding and the mew construetion.

A finel matter that mast be considcred, althougha I yor no evidence of it in the matcrials that were sent, is how the columas to suppor the trusses spanning over the top of the thentre will be placed and integreted into the intrior of the thetere, and the exiecior, as well. I mu ubolcor about when and where this innporfami information will be presentod and whon we can comprent ca il.

Again! thank you very mach for the uppurlunity to abare these comments with you 1 fook forworl to reviewing the complete appliention files and talling with you in greater detail .

Wilkes. Antis, Medick \& Lane

CABLET A JIJRT:SS: WILAN



TO: Ms. Robin 7iek
MEMORANDUM


FKOM: Perry German
DATE: $\quad$ October 14, 1997
RE: Bethesda Theater Historic Preservation

This memo is to confirm our telephone conversation of October 14, 1997. It is my understanding from this conversation that the approved Environmental Setting of the Bethesda Theater is 17,497 feet. This dimension covers the entire Bethesda Theater lot and includes the entire Theater Building. You further stated that, in any redevelopment of this site, cither by standard method or through optional method, the County's Historic Preservation Committee would review any proposed alteration of the building and parl of any Historic -Work permit H/smeuc AREA work application. At that time, HPC's review would be directed to protect the histerie-atheulturn-charater-of the entire-buidding. Finally you advised me that the Consent Agreement signed in November 5, 1987 (a copy of which is attached) does limit this review, focuses the Historic Preservation Master Plan to the facade and marquee tower, ${ }^{*}$

Attachment



All Is In Readiness for the
Gala Opening of Our New

## BORO THEATRE at 7 p.m.

On Thursday, May 19th.

Daily Schedule Monday thru Friday, opening<br>Saturday, 1 p.m. to 11 p.m. (cont.)<br>Sunday Schedule<br>\(\underset{\substack{Continuous<br>2 p.m. to<br>11<br>p.m.}}{ }\)<br>Tariff<br>Daily and Sunday, CCildren 20c, Adults, 35c.

:: Ample Free Parking Space at All Times :-
Thank you.







Coming Attractions
 MAr 22323 mad 24 WELLS FARGO
 WEDNEDAY, MAY 25
JACK BENHY $\xrightarrow[\text { ArtistsandModels }]{ }$

 EBB TIDE

## Saturedir mar 28 Dogble Feature:

 TEXAS TRAIL 2nd Dogotry LaMOUR in
THRILL of a LIFETIME

Boro Is Triumph In Field
Of Modern Construction Bethescid can well be proud of its new Boro Theatre, which will have its formal
Opening Thurday ind
Determind tod to give this community a theatre second to none in the Washin




## Distinguished Audience To Attend Gay Premiere

John A. Overholt Will Appear As Speaker On Program Presided Over By Brooke Johns, Former Star O Follies-Colbert And Cooper In Screen Feature
Residents of Bethesda and Chevy Chase will be treated to all the
color and glamour of a Hollywood premiere on Thursday night when color nand boamour of a Hellywood premiere on thursday night when
the new bert
Sidney Lust.
Powerful flood and spotights will bathe the front of the beautiful
new eifice wwith a dazzing briliancy, and motion pictures will be
taken of the

 $\frac{\text { courtess of the Earle theatre, and under the direction of its assistant }}{\text { musical director, Alex Podnos. }} \begin{aligned} & \text { Lhe dors Man Named }\end{aligned}$


Don't Miss the Opening of the Boro Theatre - . . Thursday, May 19th at 7 P. M.


Best Wishes
...To...
MR. SIDNEY LUST

## We Know

Your New
HORD THEATRE
Will Fill a
Long Felt Want
$\vdots$
Rose Brothers Co. ROOFING \& SHEET METAL ROSSLYN, VA

W E

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { E A L U } \\
& \text { "The Boro" }
\end{aligned}
$$ section.

"Matchless Service" will play an important part in bringing you entertainment and comfort. Proper lighting will protect your eyes as it does in your own home. Comfortable air conditioning will maintain floor coverings and draperies free from dust and dirt
"Matccless Service" is an indispensable servant in the theatre and in the home. The countless tasks it performs cost pennies . . . its benefits in protection of health, saving of labor and pleasure of living it performs cost pennis
are beyond measur.

## 

OMaticmpany
Matchless ervice





Congratulations

ove to everybody. Clark Gable Greetings to everyone there and
every suceess to yon
to

 One thousind and one cheers
twice and as many woo woos fors Sid
Sid

 -Oiviva de Haviland.

 the opening of your new theatre.
Best wishes to thl

- Don Ameche.



 Congratulations and good luck $\underset{\text { wishes. }}{\text { Constulations }}$-Ty rone Power.

```
Best Wishes
    to
```

Hore Theatre
-
A D L ER
Silhouette
Marquee Letters

2909 Indiana Avenue
Chicago, Illinois
and
1457 Broadway
New York City
A. JACOBS \& SONS Uniform Manufacturers 209 W. Fayette St., Baltimore, Md.
Makers of SIDNEY LUST Uniforms

Congratulations . . .
MR. SIDNEY LUST

## -•

The Washington Woodworking Co.

> 912-4th Street Northwest

WASHINGTON, D. C

## We Have Made the

## Boro Theatre Comfortable

## By Installing

## Carrier Air Conditioning

When you visit the new Boro Theatre, notice how comfortably cool it is . . . even on the hottest day.

Air conditioning is the modern way to keep your home, office
or store comfortable.
You will be surprised at what a low cost you may enjoy this
modern convenience during the coming sultry weather.
Come in and talk it over without obligation.


Call Dist. 0787

## UNITED CLAY PRODUCTS CO.

 931 INVESTMENT BUILDING
 Thentre


## Mr. Sidney Lust

on the vision and enterprise which
has resulted in the opening of .

## The

## New itoro Theatre

We feel sure that the New Boro Theater will be a welcome addition to the citizens of Bethesda and surrounding territory.

Security Savings and Commercial Bank 9TH \& G STS. N. W. 1518 K ST. N. W. Member Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation

## : <br> We Are Grateful - <br> $$
\begin{aligned} & \text { of having had the pivivilege } \\ & \text { of installing the } \\ & \text { PLUMBING } \\ & \text { HEATING } \end{aligned}
$$ of installing the of installing the <br> <br> PLUMBING <br> <br> PLUMBING and and HEATING

 HEATING} in the new
## Boro Theatre

Crockett Engineering Co. 6751 Glenbrook Road
Wisconsin 5325 - - Bethesda, Md

Brickwork
in the
New Boro Theatre
by
This Firm
A. W.Cowell \& Sons

Incorporated
746 Thayer Ave. Silver Spring, Maryland


Carpets, "Chairs
And

* Furniture *
in the New
IBOID THIEATIRIE


## By

H. L. UNDERKOFLER
N. SNELLENBURG E Co., Inc.

12th \& MARKET STREETS
PHILADELPHIA, PA.

Ornamental Iron

New BORO Theatre by

FRED S. GICHNER IRON WORKS, Inc.
REpublic $2420 \quad$ 1214-24th St., N.W.

- No Job too Large
- No Job too Small

Beautifying
The Boro
Theatre
was a pleasant task
The environment of a theatre
has come to mean as much as the
entertainment shown therein. We have found it an interesting and pleasant task to cooperate with Mr. Lust in the making of the "Boro" a theatre which we know will instantly win your approval

## Stage Draperies

House Draperies
Acoustical Treatments

Charles H. Kenney Studios - Inc.
112-118 WEST 44th STREET NEW YORK CITY


Wishing the

## Boro Theatre

## Success

$\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\bullet}$

David L. Stern
Construction Co.
1512 L. Street, N. W.

General Contractor

## Structural Steel <br> Millwork

## Lumber

## Building Hardware

Many of Our Products Went into the Building of The Boro Theatre

## Barber $\mathcal{E}$ Ross Co.

5th $\mathcal{E}$ V Streets N. E.
DEcatur 0501

## We

Heartily
Congratulate -

## MR. LUST

AND PREDICT AN IMMEDIATE SUCCESS FOR HIS BEAUTIFUL NEW

## BORO THEATRE



ARCHITECTURAL
CAST STONE

## EDMONDS

Art Stone Co., Inc.
2135 Queens Chapel Road, N.E., Washington, D.C. ATlantic 2000


ぁI80Z pue|Kıen ‘epsəyłəg

 The Bethesda Theatre Cafe is available The theatre is particularly well suited for functions that include audio visual elements, guest speakers or grand scale presentations.

 beverage services to make sure your event is truly spectacular. Call or write to us for a complete rental package and current rates.
pend a night at the movies with a group
of friends at The Bethesda Theatre Cafe. This all inclusive package is offered to groups of 25 to 100 . Bring your office, organization or tour group to the theatre one and a half hour before show time (average show times 7:00-7:30) and the theatre is yours for one hour. Select from one of our buffet packages including appetizers, pizza and lasagna with salad bar. All packages include a standard
 open the doors to the public your group will take their seats, sit back, relax and get ready for the show. Beverage service will continue at your seats throughout the movie. This package is offered Tuesday through Thursday first show only, subject to availability.

Call (301) 656-4581 for more details.

 Durrent movie releases presented on one $\rightarrow 7$ of the areas largest screens, weekend family matinees, and food $\&$ beverage service are just a few of the many features at the Bethesda Theatre Cafe. Admission is always a bargain and there is never a minimum, however minors under the age of 21 must be accompanied by a parent at all evening shows.

The Bethesda Theatre Cafe combines a casual meal, personal service and a great movie all into one stop, all at affordable prices. Menu selections range from nachos and light fare to pizzas and sandwiches plus
 a regular theatre again? Take in a show
with us today and experience the best time at the movies!


CABI.K ADDIITKS: WIIAN TAX: BOI-6\%tragiou

WIeITHRS Dlers.T DIAI.
Wilkes. Artis. Hedricik \& Lane
Chartemed
Atronneys at Law
SUITE 8लO
annatolis mationne riariax viminina chermmemantiann wai.omer. mascidand WA: $H I 2 N G T C N$ D.C 3 Bethesidn Metho Centir

Bethesda. Maryland en814-5329
(301) 854.7800

## MEMORANDUM

TO: Ms. Robin Ziek

$$
\text { FROM: } \quad \text { Perry Berman } \rho \$
$$

DATE: $\quad$ October 14, 1997
RE: Bethesda Theater Historic Preservation

This memo is to confirm our telephone conversation of October 14, 1997. It is my understanding from this conversation that the approved Environmental Setting of the Bethesda Theater is $17,497 \mathrm{fect}$. This dimension covers the entire Bethesda Theater lot and includes the entire Theater Building. You further stated that, in any redevelopment of this site, cither by standard method or through optional method, the County's Historic Preservation Committce would review any proposed alteration of the exterior of the building as part of any Historic Arca Work Permat application. At that time, HPC's review would be directed to preserve the facade, Marquee \& Marquee Tower to provide a basis for architectural revicw of any new comstruction on the site in order to achieve this preserve. Finally you advised me that the Consent Agreement signed in November 5,1987 (a copy of which is attached) does limit this review and focuses the Historic Preservation Master Plan to the facade and Marquee and Marquee Tower.

## Attachment

Montgomery County Department of Park and Planning

THE MARYLAND-NATIONAL CAPITAL
PARK AND PLANNING COMMISSION
8787. Georgia Avenue

Silver Spring, Maryland 20910-3760

FAX TRANSMITTAL SHEET
Historic Preservation Section Department of Park \& Planning

Telephone Number: (301) 563-3400
Fax Number: (301) 563-3412


DATE:


$$
8-20-98
$$

NUMBER OF PAGES INCLUDING THIS TRANSMITTAL SHEET: $\qquad$

"Consent Agreenunt"-as reusused by here.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

| IN RE: |
| :---: |
| APPEAL EROM DECISION OF THE |
| MONTGOMERY COUNTY COUNCIL, |
| SITTING AS THE DISTRICT COUNCIL |
| APPROVING THE FINAL DRAFT |
| AMENDMENT TO THE HISTORIC |
| PRESERVATION MASTER PLAN FOR THE |
| DESIGNATION OF BETHESDA CENTRAL |
| BUSINESS DISTRICT RESOURCES, |
| DATED 1985, DESIGNATING THE |
| BETHESDA THEATRE COMPLEX |
| (CINEMA 'N' DRAFTHOUSE). |
| SITE \#35/14-4 |

Civil No. 16885

## CONSENT ORDER

Upon consideration of the pleadings and record in the above-captioned case, and by consent of the parties, it is this 4 th day of Foluang. 1988. by the circuit court for Montgomery County, Maryland,

ORDERED that the intent of Council Resolution No.
10-2065 was to designate the entixe building and site but not to require the Historic Preservation Comission, in the future exercise of its discretion, to preserve the entire building or require its continued use as a theater. The Council's intent, in the event of redevelopment, whether under the standard or optional method, was to preserve the facade, marquee and marquee tower and to provide a basis for architectural review of any new construction on the site in order to control the environmental setting of the facade, marquee and tower. The retention of the
building's use as a theater or its interior features is not within the jurisdiction of the Historic Preservation Commission.
 Montgomery County, Maryland

Consent:

Ailicat ( $\frac{\text { A. Lescreo (pec) }}{\text { GILBERT B. LESSENCO }}$
Attorney for Appellant
Beta Corporation


ALAN M. WRIGHT
Senior Assistant County Attorney for Montgomery County, Maryland

AMW: pe
1348W:86.05077

```
    1440 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINOTON, D.C. 20005-2:11
                                    --
            TELEOMONE NO.: (2OZ) 371-7000
            Facalmile No.: (202) 303-6780
Direct FaOgimile No.: 202-371-7883
```

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET

Please deliver the followino paoe(s) to:
Name: Robin Ziek
Firm: $\quad$ Montgomery County Historic Preservation Commission

CTr:
Date: $\quad$ uggust 20, 1998

Telephone no.: 301-563-3400

Facsimile: No.: 301-563-3412

From: Nancy Baird Tlr_Rm:

Direct Dial: 202-371-7830

TOTAL NUMEER OF PAOES INCLUDINO COVER(S):
3
 INFORMATION. IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTRNDED HREIPENT OF THIS FAGSIMILE, YOU ARE MEREGY HOTFIEO TMAT AMY DIBEEMINATON, OIETRISUTION OR
 TRLEPHONE AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL FACBIMILE TO UE AT TME MODAESE ARTIVE VIA THE LOCAL FOGTAL EERVICE. WE WILL REIMBURBE ANY COGTB YOU INCUR IM mODTPING UE AND RETUANING THE PAOBIMILE TO UB

Messaoe: Robin - attached is the court order as we discussed. Thank you for the copy of the resolution (consent agreement) you referred to in our earlier conversation. I will call you back to discuss these as soon as I have a chance to read through the document.

Nancy

ORDERED, that the Intent of Council Resolution No. 10-2065 was to include the entire building and site but not to require the Historic Preservation Commission, in the future exercise of its discretion, to preserve the entire building or require its continued use as theater. The Council's intentame to preserve the facade, marquee and marques tower and to provide a basis for architectural review of any new construction on the site in order to control the environmental setting of the facade, marquee and tower. The wtantion of the building i ane as a theater or Ts interior features is not unpin the scopas of the Master Plane desisustion.

* in Th event of redevelopment, whether under The standard or optioned meminod, Lac


CONSENT AGREEMENT

Talking to Navey Faird

$$
11 / 5 / 87
$$

(202) $371-7830$

FAY 202.371.7863
22. 3717293
202. 393.5760

- State eunabing low
- Localo have authaurty orily as specically delizated by stute.
- MD is dinuter connty
- Artide 28 HP state euabing legrslative
- Critera not incomsistant yo criteria used by the state (MHT)... Tun follmor Nat'l Reg.
Art of Section 7-108(c) criteria $83 B \sec 5-6(05)(6-1.5)$ Art 83b.
- NItl Register amit allur denzuatm of vly a put of a building...
- po Cancty Comeil har desiznated The site

See fective 24A-8 they have to follum the coiterion fore Bsuances; amn't aeter...etz.

Artille 28- Caunty has authrity to adope ramend The plan chen the progosal is not incomsistent al mitr.
(Sentrin 24A)

ORDERED, that the Intent of Council Resolution No. 10-2065 was to include the entire building and site but not to require the Historic Preservation Commission, in the future exercise of its discretion, to preserve the entire building or require its * continued use as a theater. The Council's intent $\cap$ to preserve the facade, marquee and marquee tower and to provide a basis for architectural review of any new construction on the site in order to control the environmental setting of the facade, marquee and tower. The mutation of the building's we se as a theater or its interrier features is not win the secpe of the Master Plane designation.

* in the even $\hat{y}$ of redeveloprrient, whether under The standard or of trinal meinod, was


Consent agreement


11 September 1998

Hon. William Hussman
Chair
County Planning Board 8787 Georgia Avenue


Rockville, Md. 20910

Dear Hon. Hussman,
Please oppose the plan to build an apartment building on top of the Bethesda Theatre with only a 20 -foot setback. The Theatre is a cultural landmark in Montgomery County, and can be property preserved if the new construction is set back at least 53 feet.

I look forward to hearing from you on this issue.
Sincerely,


Frances I. Scott, President
Art Deco Society of Washington
9717 Kings Crown Ct. \#201
Fairfax, V3. 22031




HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION STAFF REPORT

Address: 7715-7723 Wisconsin Avenue

Resource: Bethesda Theater (\#35/14-4)

Case Number: N/A

Public Notice: 5/28/97
Applicant: The BETA Corporation (Mr. Eugene Smith)
PROPOSAL: Develop residential tower above theater; restore theater.

Meeting Date: 6/11/97
Review: PRELIMINARY CONSULTATION

Tax Credit: Partial

Report Date: 6/4/97
Staff: Robin D. Ziek
RECOMMENDATIONS:
Proceed to HAWP

## PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The proposed project area includes the Bethesda Theater site, as well as the existing parking lot behind the theater, as well as the public parking lot \#42 to the rear and south of the theater. (See Circle 10,11.)

This is a tight site with a complicated program, and the owners have chosen to apply the Optional Method of development, with review by the Planning Board. Under the Optional Method, certain public amenities must be provided, and, in this case, restoration of the theater interior will be the primary public amenity. The HPC has review authority over the exterior of the theater, but does not have any review authority over the interior work (although the Planning Board may request HPC comments),

The proposal is to develop the area immediately above and adjacent to the Bethesda Theater. A residential structure would be built over the theater auditorium and part of the theater lobby area. The proposal would preserve the theater interior and the Wisconsin Avenue facade, including the theater entrance, the theater marquee and tower sign, and flanking storefronts. The residential structure above the theater would be constructed at a setback of $20^{\prime}$ from the front of the theater building (or the edge of the building and the sidewalk). The alley along the south side of the theater would be developed as a pedestrian space, for ingress/egress to the residential structure and to the underground parking facility. The theater wall along the alley would therefore also be exposed, but the proposal may be to reface this surface in conjunction with the new construction. The rear parking lot would be redeveloped for garden apartments and townhouses fronting on Tilbury Street, and the public parking lot at Middleton Lane would be redeveloped for townhouses. The project would include facilities for public parking and private parking underground.

As the HPC has no review authority on the interior of the theater, staff suggested to the applicant that an architect with expertise in historic preservation should be hired as a consultant for the theater restoration, especially for interior work. The owner has retained Oehrlein \& Associates Architects to promote a quality restoration of the theater itself.

## SITE HISTORY

The Bethesda Theater, previously known as the Bethesda Cinema ' N Drafthouse, was built in 1938. This brick theater was designed by the New York architectural firm of John Eberson, a well-known architect, who also designed the Silver Theatre in Silver Spring. Stylistically, and under the broad heading of Art Deco, the Bethesda Theater is an example of Streamline Moderne commercial architecture. The theater was commissioned by Sidney B. Lust, a well-known theater operator, and was originally named the "Boro" Theater. In 1939, the name was changed to the Bethesda Theater, and that is the name on the marquee tower today.

The structure is a combination of decorative and utility brick. The Wisconsin Avenue facade is built of blond brick, with bands of black brick highlighting 2 low parapet walls, one directly behind the sign tower, and the other (ca. 50' back from the facade) screening the roof over the auditorium. The rear and sides of the theater structure are built of red utilitarian brick. The theater entrance is defined by the theater marquee and the tall marquee tower with BETHESDA in neon letters. There is a central ticket booth, trimmed in grooved aluminum, with two flanking theater entrances. These are further bracketed with two aluminum-trimmed movie notice cases. The entire composition is completed with flanking one-story commercial space (two storefronts originally to the north, and one storefront to the south) which utilizes aluminum trim and echoes the green marble base of the theater proper.

Today, the theater entrance is framed by a single commercial storefront on either side. The marquee sign is set to the height of the storefronts, and is emphasized by the use of blond brick in the storefronts at the same elevation as the marquee. To further accentuate the theater entrance, a low parapet wall rises behind the marquee, providing some support at the base for the tower sign. This decorative parapet wall is blond brick, with accent stripes of black brick, and curving "returns" at the theater entrance edges. The theater lobby and the storefronts are all one-story spaces. After one passes through the lobby, the theater auditorium rises above the lobby ceiling height. This is reflected on the exterior of the theater where the secondary parapet wall, which echoes the design of the first parapet wall, screens the auditorium roof. This secondary parapet wall is also blond brick with black stripes, and with a toothed brick pattern.

The theater is still in operation, with the additional installation of a food and beer service within the auditorium. The interior of the theater is essentially in original condition, except for the seating configuration which has been altered to accommodate the relaxed dining facility. The two flanking storefronts are leased commercial space, although the north store is currently empty. There have been some alterations to the storefronts over time, but the original aluminum decorative work is still apparent, and some of the original finishes may have been covered up rather than removed in earlier renovations.

## STAFF COMMENTS

The project proposal is consistent with the County Council's designation of the Bethesda Theater as a Master Plan site (See Circle 26, 27), and with the County Council's Consent Agreement regarding the preservation of the Theater facade (See Circle 28). The guidance from the County Council provided in the Consent Agreement provided the property owner with more details regarding the specific requirements of preservation with regard to the development potential of the site. With this project proposal, the facade along Wisconsin Avenue will be preserved, including the decorative parapet wall directly behind the marquee and tower, and the flanking commercial storefronts. The theater will continue to have a presence on Bethesda's "Main Street", both architecturally and functionally.

The project proposes several alterations to the exterior of the theater, including the following: new environmental configuration with the proposed setback of $20^{\prime}$ for the residential structure, the proposed refurbishing of the storefronts, and the proposed refacing of the south wall of the theater, along the alley. Staff notes that the HPC has discussed many of the issues regarding development of this site with the applicant in another review on September 23, 1992. It may be helpful to better understand the complications of the project to append the minutes of that discussion (see Circle 34-59), while recognizing that the HPC today has the responsibility to review and comment on this proposal, and ultimately approve of proposed alterations on the exterior.

1) The proposed setback of $\mathbf{2 0}$ ' would allow the theater sign tower to fly independently along Wisconsin Avenue, as it does today. The proposed 20 setback for the residential tower would, however, preclude the retention of the secondary parapet wall which presently screens the auditorium roof at ca. $50^{\prime}$ back from the facade. This wall is a design element of the original theater and has decorative features, such as the black banding, and the toothed brick pattern, which contribute to the overall effect of the theater design. If the residential tower is set at any location forward of the $50^{\prime}$ setback, this parapet wall will be covered, as will the auditorium roof itself.

The proposed 20' setback, which would allow the new residential structure to be built as part of the Wisconsin Avenue edge, is viewed as desirable from an urban design perspective as envisioned in the Bethesda Master Plan. With approval of the 20' setback, there are several options. The parapet wall could be left in place, as an archaeological artifact. The parapet wall could perhaps be rebuilt at the new setback, providing a transition for the new construction as it also provided a transition in the original construction.
2) The two remaining storefronts are an integral part of the theater composition along Wisconsin Avenue. They have both sustained some alterations through time, including new signage, replacement of storefront windows, doors, and covering up of original details. The important architectural detailing has, however, been preserved. The integrity of the storefronts should be carefully analyzed, and a preservation strategy devised. The balance of the storefronts on either side of the theater entrance is an integral part of the facade, and this should be maintained.
3) The alley wall of the theater was built in the red utility brick, a design decision which acknowledged the greater significance to the Wisconsin Avenue facade which was built of blond brick. The red brick was less costly, and less prominent visually. The proposal to resurface the alley wall to integrate it with the new construction would have little effect on the theater facade as long as the new material did not compete with the historic facade, by trying to blend in with it. Staff feels that the alley wall could be treated as an element of the new construction as long as the design separation between this part of the theater and the theater facade was maintained.

In addition, the project proposal includes the restoration of the historic theater interior. The theater has be in operation to date, and appears to be in substantially good condition. There will be questions, however, about integrating the new construction with the old structure, as well as decisions concerning changes which have been previously made to the interior, such as the alterations to the seating configuration. Construction questions remain concerning the process of installing a new truss system above the old structure, or reconfiguring the structural integrity of the theater ceiling with a new system of attachment/support, or the installation of new piers within the historic theater to support the residential structure above, etc. The historic preservation planning staff will be involved with these decisions, participating in the review of architectural proposals to accomplish the restoration of the theater interior within the context of the entire project. The Planning Board may also request input from the HPC as well.

Finally, the HPC has a role in the review and approval of the design of the new construction over the Bethesda Theater. The proposal, as shown on Circle 14, has a strong presence on Wisconsin Avenue, with its vertical thrust being capped with strong horizontal elements such as the prominent cornice, and the concave cap on the front elevation. Staff feels that this stands comfortably in contrast with the strength of the theater sign tower, which was designed solely with a vertical direction, reminiscent of the New York City skyscrapers.

The proposed use of balconies with small open pickets may not be consistent with the overall lines of the new structure. Perhaps balconies with solid walls would better integrate these exterior elements into the architecture, rather than having them appear to be simply applied.

The drawing on Circle 14 indicates a wide band or base for the residential structure which probably coincides with the $12^{\prime}$ truss system which spans the theater. This raises questions with regard to the possible reconstruction of the secondary parapet wall at this location of the project. The parapet wall extended along the front of the auditorium roof, with a small return of approximately 5 ' on either side. From that point on, the red brick was used on the theater. The integration of this historic element within the new design should take into account that hierarchy which was historically established giving prominence to the Wisconsin Avenue facade, and leaving the remainder of the building as a background element only.

The new building is shown in a color rendering (see Circle 17), with the same color as the blond brick of the theater. Staff feels that the new structure should not mimic the color of the blond brick, because this may overwhelm and essentially dilute the power of the original theater. The theater will have to stand up to the new height of the residential structure, but it may be asking too much for the theater facade to stand up to a taller structure of the same color and material. The original theater differentiated between the Wisconsin elevation and the rest of the theater. Staff feels that this differentiation should be maintained. Perhaps a brick structure that is red, or some other brick color would serve to integrate the site in a manner similar to the historic construction. Brick is a flexible material, and there is design potential for special elements in the new structure which would not detract from the power of the theater itself.

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that this project proceed to HAWP for approval. There are, of course, many different steps which the applicant will be following, including required approvals from the Planning Board for the Preliminary Plan, the Project Plan, and the Site Plan. It would be anticipated that the applicant would come back to the HPC for HAWP approval after obtaining approval of the Project Plan, and before proceeding with the Site Plan approvals.

# LINOWESANDBLOCHER Llp 

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
May 7, 1997
Robert H. Metz
301.650.7012

Ms. Robin D. Ziek
Montgomery County Department of Park
and Planning
1109 Spring Street
$8^{\text {th }}$ floor
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910
Re: Preliminary Consultation with Historic Preservation Commission Bethesda Theater Project

Dear Ms. Ziek:
On behalf of our client, the BETA Corporation, we submit this letter and enclosed materials for review by the Historic Preservation Commission. As explained in more detail below, BETA is seeking approval of a Project Plan and a Rezoning Application for its properties in the Bethesda Central Business District and before initiating that review process, is seeking preliminary comments from the Historic Preservation Commission regarding the proposal. Enclosed herewith please find a report prepared by Oehrlein \& Associates Architects, and a Site Plan and elevations of the above proposed project as well as interior and exterior photographs of the Theater.

As you can see from the enclosed drawings, BETA is proposing a 185 unit apartment building to be constructed overtop of the Bethesda Theater Cafe with the use of a 12 foot truss system. Adjacent to this structure and connected by a lobby area will be a four-story garden apartment building backing onto the existing alley along the Chevy Land property. These garden apartments will include approximately 26 units and will be located on property in the PD-35 Zone pending a local Map Amendment Application. Also on this PD zoned property will be 23 townhouses which will front onto Tilbury and Middleton respectively. This proposal coincides directly with the Bethesda Central Business District Sector Plan in terms of design but gives the added benefit of additional residential units in the CBD which were not anticipated.

The high-rise apartment building, atop the Theater, will be part of a CBD-2 Optional Method Development Plan. As part of this Optional Method process and as specified in the Sector Plan, BETA will be preserving the front marquee and facade of the Theater as well as the interior of the lobby, foyer and auditorium. As noted in the enclosed Oehrlein report, BETA intends to construct a new truss system to hang the existing ceiling which will be altered only as necessary to accommodate the installation of a fire suppression system and other mechanical requirements. BETA is anxious to move forward with the proposed project, and believes that the proposed design will respect the historic elements of the front of the Theater while still providing an economically viable use of the air rights. As you are aware, the design was driven by many constraints above and beyond the typical development standards of the Zoning Ordinance. That is, there is pressure to keep the high rise structure away from the neighborhood while also keeping it an adequate distance from the marquee while all the

Ms. Robin D. Ziek
May 7, 1997
Page 2
while maintaining the urban streetscape along Wisconsin Avenue. In addition, the Sector Plan limits the height of the building but requires preservation of the Theater, which necessitates a 12 foot truss system. Lastly, the Sector Plan anticipated an office use for the property, however, BETA is attempting to bring much needed residential development to the area. When looking at all these, and many other issues surrounding the proposed development, BETA believes the proposed plans exhibit a well-thought out and designed project and preserves the essential element of the Bethesda Theater.

We understand that this matter will be brought before the Historic Preservation Commission on May $29^{\text {th }}$, 1997, for a preliminary consultation. At that time, we will also provide a massing model of the surrounding area. If you have any questions or need any additional information, please feel free to contact us.

My best regards.

RHM:sbw
Very truly yours,


Enclosures
cc: Ms. Gwen Wright
Mr. Eugene M. Smith
Ms. Mary Oehrlein
Brian J. Gibbons, Esquire
Mr. Sanford Silverman
Mr. Charles A. Irish, Jr.

May 7, 1997

## BETHESDA THEATER

7715-7723 Wisconsin Avenue
General Background Information
On May 19, 1938, the theater opened to the public as the Boro Theater, with great fanfare and the showing of "Bluebeard's Eighth Wife". The theater was very soon after renamed the Bethesda Theater. Designed as a movie theater by John Eberson, a New York architect, the theater was constructed by the David L. Stern Construction Co. of Washington. A supplement to the Bethesda Chevy Chase Tribune published two days prior to the theater opening included advertisements by some of the materials suppliers for the building, with many in the Washington area including Rose Brothers Roofing, Fred S. Gichner Iron Works, Washington Woodworking Company, Edmonds Art Stone, and Potomac Electric Power Company. The signature sign was provided by Lou Sherman Sign Corporation of Long Island City, New York. The theater was described as a "triumph in modern theater construction", using the most modern materials and construction techniques and exceeding "the requirements of strict State laws in every detail."

Most of the original building materials of the theater remain intact. On the exterior, the original northernmost retail space and storefront has been removed and the existing north storefrońt has been altered, but the original south storefront is largely intact including the ornamental aluminum trim and the enamel panels at the base. The enamel panels have been painted in imitation of green marble to match the remaining original marble at the theater entrance. The original masonry, metal trim, marquee and other finishes of the Wisconsin Avenue (west) facade, the theater entrance and ticket booth also remain and are in generally good condition. At the secondary west elevation and the north, south and east elevations, the original buff and red brick walls remain, but are in only fair physical condition.

At the interior, the original space configuration and most of the original finishes remain intact. Finishes at the lounges and toilet rooms have been partially replaced, a new enclosure has been constructed at the rear of the auditorium and a new floor structure with platforms has replaced the original continuously sloped floor in the auditorium. Other than these, and other minor alterations, the interior plaster, wood trim, lighting and portions of the original decorative painting remain.

## Description of the Proposed Project

[^1]At the interior of the building, the proposed project will preserve the existing configuration and finishes of the lobby, lounges, foyer and auditorium. The only proposed alterations of the theater interior are the installation of a fire suppression system and the improvement of access for disabled patrons. Columns supporting the new trusses will likely be located at the interior of the west portion of north wall of the auditorium because of exterior space restrictions. The size, location, number and detailing of the new columns has not yet been determined. Other supporting columns will be probably located at the exterior. Alteration at the north retail space will be required to allow one of the new apartment building egress stairs to exit to Wisconsin Avenue. It may be necessary to modify the non-original storefront at the north retail space to accommodate a new exit door.

At the exterior, the Wisconsin Avenue facade masonry, ornamental metal, storefronts, ticket booth, and marquee will be retained and preserved in the existing configuration. The brickwork of the secondary Wisconsin Avenue facade, which occurs approximately 52 feet to the east of Wisconsin Avenue, will be removed along with portions of the masonry at the top of the north and south walls to allow installation of the new trusses. The south wall which faces a new public sidewalk will be faced with new brick, except for the first twenty feet adjacent to Wisconsin Avenue where the original buff brick will remain exposed. The existing east elevation of the theater will be enclosed within the new construction. Treatment at the north elevation, which faces a narrow passageway and alley, has not been yet been determined but it may also be faced with new brick due to the poor condition of the existing masonry.

## Benefits of the Proposed Project

The proposed project will retain and preserve the Wisconsin Avenue facade, the marquee and the marquee tower; the primary character defining elements of the building. The proposed set back of 20 feet will allow the marquee and marquee tower to continue to be viewed as distinct and separate elements of the Wisconsin Avenue streetscape. At the same time, the set back will not be so great as to disrupt the character and alignment of the building facades along the property line of Wisconsin Avenue. The continuous and consistent facade line which defines the blocks to the south and west will, presumably, one day exist in this block; the set back will allow the theater to retain an identity on Wisconsin Avenue without creating a "missing tooth" gap in the streetscape.

As an additional benefit, the primary public spaces of the interior, which remain largely as originally constructed, will be retained and preserved. The proposed project will result in retention of the building and insure the long term preservation of both the exterior and the interior of the Bethesda Theater.
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# BORO THEATRE OPENS THURSDAY 

## Movie House Ready For First Performance



Boro Theatre, the tast word in theatre consiructinn, which will be opened here Thurgday night with mim pressive program beginning at 8:15 o'clnek. The new amusement palace boasts the lnteat in sotnd and projection equipment and is fitted with ultra-modernfeatures fnr the comfort inf its patrons. The theatre wiil operate unde

## Boro Is Triumph In Field

 Of Modern ConstructionBethesda can well be proud of its new Boro Theatre, which wilt have its forma pening Thursday night.
Determined to give this community a theatre second to none ln the Washing. con suburban area, the Woodmont Deveiopment Co. has spared no expense in the building of this beautifut edifice, which stands as a triumph in modern thealre construction.
Persons viewing the Boro for the its type in the Washington territory first time will thrill to its archilectural The all-metal canapy is shaped in semibenuty. From its marquee and rront doors on through its lobby, foyer and spirit of modernism
John Eberson, celebrated New York architect, who has severai of the newer Washington theatres to his credit, designed the Boro, and has succeeded in making it the epitome of tuxury, beauty ington builder, was the generat contrar. tor.

## Marquee Impressive

 Upoa approaching the theatre, one isimmediately impressed with its beauti-
fut and massive marquee, the largest of

Hollywood Stars Wire Congratulations To Boro
Telegrams poured into the office of Sidney Lust today in a seemiagly endless stream, each one carrying some perhose recelved follow:
My aincere best wishen ears of success in Bethesds -Claudette Coibert.

My heartiest eongratuiations on The opening of your new Boro

A load of good wishes to you on tha opening of your new Boro
Theatre: Fred Astalre.
Congratulatlons to you on the opening of your new theatre in Bethesda. May lt keep eoming in
a winner. a winner. ——ing Crosby.
of the new for the
of the new Boro.
(See CONyrna Loy.
(See CONGRatulations, Page 7

## Distinguished Audience To Attend Gay Premiere

John A. Overholt Will Appear As Speaker On Program Presided Over By Brooke Johns, Former Star Of Follies-Colbert And Cooper In Screen Feature

Residents of Bethesda and Chevy Chase will be treated to all the color and glamour of a Hollywood premiere on Thursday night when the new Boro Theatre stages its formal opening under the direction of Sidney Lust.
Powerful flood and spotights will bathe the front of the beautiful new edifice with a dazaling hrilliancy, and motion pictures will be taken of the crowds entering the thealre. Gordon Hittenmark, popular WRC radio announcer, is expected to be on hand to greet arriving celebrities over a public address system, while inside the theatre music will be provided by a string orchestra furnished through the courtesy of the Earle Theatre, and under the direction of its assistant musical director, Alex Podnos.

Local Man Named
Manager Of Boro

1. William Jobes, Bethesda High Graduate, Appointed Head Of New Theatre
J. William Jobes. weil-known Beth-
esda tesident, has been selected hy Sidney Lust lor the position of manage of the Boto Theatre, newest link in the chain of Lust motion picture houses operating In
its suburbs.
ils suburbs.
Mr . Jobes
Mir. Jobes is a graduate of Bethesda-
Chevy Chace High School and for the past six yenr has been engaged in husiness in Washingtan and Montrnmers County. He is 24 years old.
Alter receiving his diploma at the Incai high school, where he was an out. standing athlete, Mr. Jobes became aff.
liated with Santary Grocery Co. and laver with George $F$. Muth \& Ca. and the Associatrd Retail Credit Men. For a time he studied for the legal profession at the Nationai Law Schobl, in Washington.
He is the son of Mr, and Mrs. Wil liam E. Jobes of Iirthesda and resides with his wife. the former Miss Hele Howard, and child at 6712 Wisconsin of the late Cififard Howard af Rockvilie, a Inrmer Mnntgomery County sheriff.

## Wells Fargo Days

New Film's Theme
Joel McCrea, Frances Dee Cast In Principal Roles in Historical Epic

Two generations of an American famin American history, are treal period Paramount's tribule to the men who connected California to the East in early pioneer days, "Wells Fargo," show. Ing Sunday, Monday, and Tuesday at the Boro Theatre.
The family is that of Joet McCrea man of vision, who goes to the West for the purpose of krowing with it and of establishing a "lifeline of empire" between the East and the sprawling settiements on the Pacsic Coast, and his
wife, played by Miss Frances Dee, who loves him but fails to grasp the blgness of his dream.
The supporting cast is headed by Bob Burns in the role of an Indian Scout. It is his first "straight" roie. Others in the bniliant cast are L.loyd Nolan, Henty ON Rator Morg Hall, Rober Jumm Mack Brown and Barlowe Bar Jobn Mack Brown and Barlowe Bar
lind. The film was direcied by Frant Lloyd from a story hy Sluart $N$. Lake.

The doors of the sheatre will be opened at 7 P. M. 10 permit early ar.the luxurious and ultra-roodern interior The dediention exercies wilh statt at 8:15 o'clock with Bronke Johns, former Ziegfeld Follies star and for many years ihe vaudeville partner af Ann Penning. on. acting no master of eeremonies. John A. Overholt, president of the Rethesda Chamber of Commerce, will
make the address of welcome will be failoued by the introduction of celchrities.
After careful consideration, Mr. Lust selecled "Blucbenrd's Eighth Wire." one of the season's outstanding comedyramas, as the principal film attractien
he opening nights: pragram
Film is Outstanding
Slarring Gary Coopre and Clisuletle Colberl. The picture was prodilued and
directed by Ernst 1.ubitsch, whose directed by Ernst Lubstsch, whose
reatment of this Aifred Savoir Parisizn farce has made the film one of the most enjoyable to come out of Holiywand in some lime.
Among the short suijecets that will ubpiement the main feature picture will be the two-reet cartion no veity," "Pop. ye the Sailor Mects Ali Baba and the Forty Thieves," which has been made in Trchnicolor and effers several innova. thors in cartoon filming. includiar a l the lalest edition of the Patso will Newereel. The sance prnotam
an Friday ant Snturday.

Schedule Announced
After the inaugurat night. the Boro will be opened daily at 5:45 P. M., with shows slarting is minutes later. On Saturdays, shows will start at $1: 00$ P. M., running cantinuously until $11: 00$
P. M., and on Sundays there will be continuous show from 2:00 to 11:00
The Roro will be devoted to pre senting the highest type of motion pic. ure entertainment in an almosphere of luxury and comfort, and is expected 10 secome a business and architectural

Parking Available For 500 Cars At Boro

There will be no parking probtems at the new Boro Theatre. that will accommodate mare than 500 cars has been provided for the convenience of Boro patrons. It is locnted directly behind the thestre, and is easily accessible Irom the bighway.
The iot has been surfaced with far apart, will facililate the parking of aulomobiles and will permit free passage at ail tivees.
Powerful Aloodlights will inumi. Powerful Cloodlights wilt inumi-
nate the

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Site | Name $\quad$ LocationAssociated <br> Acreage |
| 35/14-2 | Madonna of the Trails |
| - | Erected on Wisconsin Avenue in 1929, the Bethesda Madonna is one of twelve statues commissioned by the Daughters of the American Revolution (DAR) to commemorate this Country's westward pioneer movement. The statues stretch from Bethesda, Maryland to Upland California and are dedicated to the sprit and contributing American pioneer women. |
| - | Designated on the Master Plan without an environmental setting as part of an amendment adopted in 1981, the Bethesda Madonna was subsequently removed from its original location during the construction of the Metro system. Following the completion of construction, the Madonna was returned to a site on the north side of the Bethesda Post office. The statue and its immediate surroundings will be maintained in perpetuity as part of the Bethesda Metro Center's Site Plan Enforcement Agreement 8-81075. |

Associated

Site
35/14-4 Bethesda Theater Complex (Cinema 'n Draft House)

Location
7715-7723
Wisconsin
Avenue

Acreage

17,497 sq.ft.

- 1938--Art Deco movie theater complex featuring symmetrical single bay store facades of blond brick banded at the upper level with courses of black. The store fronts flank a marquee topped by a distinctive tower reminiscent of a stylized New York City skyscraper.
- One of two theaters in the County designed by the firm of noted theater architect, John Eberson, the complex is a good, representative example of Eberson's work in neighborhood theater design.
- This site is designated with the entire 17,497 sq. ft. parcel as the envirommental setting. If redeveloped, the intention of designation is to seek the preservation and integration of the theater's significant exterior architectural features including the marquee, distinctive marquee tower and the complex's banded brick facades as well as preserve primary views of the marquee and tower from the street.
- The amendment further recognizes a public interest in retaining the theater's use and in preserving original/ historic, interior features. If redeveloped under an
optional method, it may be appropriate to identify preservation of these additional resources as potential amenities to the project if demonstrated to be in the overall public interest.



35/14* Wilson's Store \& Post Office (Community Paint and hardware)

## Location

7250
wisconsin Avenue

- Circa 1890 -Two-story, stucco over clapboard, frame commercial staycture with one-story glass enclosed, shed-roofed ports and street-oriented flat facade fearturing bracketed, decorative cornice.
- Architecturally the epitome n the turn-of-the-century village store, Wilson's Store and Post office, known today as Community Paint and Hardware is believed to be the first commercial structure in the GBD and the only remaining vestige of Bethesda's lith century commercial beginnings.


## MEMO

To: Perry Berman, Chief
Community Planning South Division
From: Gwen Marcus. Historic Preservation Plannerffilir.
Re: Bethesda Theater Appeal and Consent Order

As you requested, I am reporting to you on the recent actions of the PHED Committee concerning the Bethesda Theater Appeal and Consent Order.

On Thursday, November 5th, the PHED Committee of the County Council met to discuss the consent order proposed by the owners of the Bethesda Theater. The purpose of this consent order was, according to the owners, to clarify the intent of the County Council in their of approval of the Master Plan Amendment for the historic Bethesda Theater building (Resolution No. 10-2065).

After discussion by the PHED Committee members concerning the exact historic designation boundaries that were approved in their action of July 7, 1986, the committee agreed to an alternative consent order which had been prepared by Senior Assistant County Attorney, Alan Wright.

This approved draft of the consent order is attached. The wording of this draft was acceptable to not only the County Council, but also the owner's attorney, the staff of the HPC, and myself. The attorney for the Art Deco Society found the attached consent order marginally satisfactory, although she proposed some language to highlight the reference to the theater use/interior features recommendation. This proposed change was rejected by the owner's attorney and the County Council.

I basically feel that the attached consent order reaffirms the provisions outlined in the approved Master Plan Amendment--it does not change the boundaries of the designation and reaffirms HPC's role in design review of any new construction associated with the building.

Although, in general, I feel that a consent order that repetitively recites the provisions of the Amendment is unnecessary and wasteful, I think that it was a valuable exercise in this particular case. There was clearly a great deal of confusion, even among the members of the PHED Committee, as to the exact provisions, boundaries and intent of the Amendment. Hopefully, this consent order has cleared these issues up for everyone involved and has put an end to the appeal by the owner of the Master Plan designation.

HPC staff and I concur in our hope that consent orders to clarify Council intentions don't become a regular procedure by applicants. Perhaps clearer
or more specific wording of amendment language can help to alleviate this potential problem.

```
cc: Norman Christeller
    Don Downing
    Jane Allen
    Melissa Banach
```

1. Name (indicate preferred name)
historic Boro Theater
and/or common Bethesda Theater/Bethesda Cinema ' $N$ ' Drafthouse

## 2. Location

street \& number 7725 . Wisconsin Avenue
__ not for publication

| city, town | Bethesda | vicinity of | congressional district |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| state | Maryl and |  | county |

## 3. Classification

| Category $\qquad$ district $\qquad$ building(s) $\qquad$ structure $\qquad$ site $\qquad$ object | Ownership $\qquad$ public $\qquad$ private $\qquad$ both <br> Public Acquisition $\qquad$ in process $\qquad$ being considered $\qquad$ not applicable | Status $\qquad$ cupied $\qquad$ <br> unoccupied <br> work in progress <br> Accessible $\qquad$ yes: restricted $\qquad$ yes: unrestricted $\qquad$ no | Present Use $\qquad$ agriculture $\qquad$ commercial $\qquad$ educational $\qquad$ entertainment $\qquad$ government $\qquad$ industrial $\qquad$ military | $\qquad$ museum $\qquad$ park $\qquad$ private residence $\qquad$ religious $\qquad$ scientific $\qquad$ transportation $\qquad$ other: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## 4. Owner of Property (give names and mailing addresses of all owners)

name
Beta Corporation/Mr. Herbert Garten
street \& number 36 South Charles Street, telephone no.:
city, town Baltimore state and zip code MD 21204

## 5. Location of Legal Description

courthouse, registry of deeds, etc. Montgomery County Courthouse liber
street \& number
folio
city, town Rockville
state MD
6. Representation in Existing Historical surveys
title
date ___ federal__state_county local
depository for survey records
city, town


Prepare both a summary paragraph and a general description of the resource and its various elements as it exists today.

The principal feature of this three-part complex is the main (west) facade of the Bethesda Theater. The single story with parapet cre front is laid up in blond brick with four double-coursed bands of black brick marking the parapet corners, giving the main block a horizontal emphasis; the pattern is repeated behind the facade on the upward projection of the auditorium forming the rear riser. A centrally-situated ticket booth, trimmed in grooved aluminum, is flanked by recessed theater entrances, with aluminum-trimned movie natice cases at either end of the arrangement. Running the full length of the main facade is the theater marquee consisting of a wide metal canopy, rounded at the corners and trimmed by parallel lines of neon lights, and, rising from the center of: the canopy, a tall metal marquee tower, as well trimmed in neon and bearing a vertical spelling of the theater's name, "Bethesda," in neon letters. Tying the tower to the canopy is a rounded L-angle, in like material, restating the rounded corners of the canopy.

Flanking each side of the theater's main facade are single story wings, also of blend brick, each featuring large show windows.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

don't always have an opportunity to talk in detail with you about testimony, but it is, very helpful for us. Because when we are trying to write it, we, want to try and make sure we are saying what is in your minds. And to that end I just want to talk for a minute, if I might, about the Cinema and Draft House Building.

The Cinema and Draft House is designated on the Master Plan for Historic Preservation. The Master Plan designation only allows us to preserve the exterior of the building. And in particular, with the designation of the Cinema and Draft House, the Master Plan amendment says that really in the event of development the main things that would have to be preserved would just be the facade, the marquee, the sort of tower or pylon that rises up that says "Bethesda" on it. So, conceivably, under a normal historic area work permit process, that would really be all this Commission would have sort of jurisdiction to try to preserve.

What ties in -- because this is in a Central
Business District, what ties in is what is called the optional method of development. Under the optional method of development -- and this was discussed a little in our worksession -- it is possible to double the amount of floor area constructed on a property by providing the County with certain amenities and by getting an approved Project Plan from the Montgomery County Planning Board, and that Project

Plan involves a fairly detailed level of design review.
What is happening with this Master Plan is not the application for a Project Plan or the application for a historic area work permit, but the exploration by the building owner of what might be possible in terms of redevelopment at the Bethesda Cinema and Draft House. And if an optional method project might be acceptable and a number of planning things need to be done in this Master Plan to make an optional method project even possible, as was discussed in the material that you had, at this point you need to have a certain amount of square footage on your parcel to do an optional method project. This particular property doesn't have enough square footage, but they would if we, through the Master Plan process, alter the Central Business District boundary line to give them some additional Central Business District zoned land behind them -- they own the land already, but it is not in the Central Business District boundary. This gets a little complicated.

Essentially what they are asking for is permission to move that Central Business District boundary line, permission to have, you know, a higher building than what might be normally accepted on the block, a variety of other issues, in exchange for which the owners would renovate not only the exterior of the theater building; but they would restore the interior of the theater, and they would retain
the use of the theater as an entertainment theater space.
Those are two amenities that the Historic
Preservation Commission normally would not have any jurisdiction over, interior restoration and retention of use. However, through the optional method project, those two things might be achieved.

One of the issues that has come up, and I would just say that all of the issues are very complex. The whole idea of moving a Central Business District boundary line sounds simple, but it actually takes an act of the County Council. It is a major concession. It is a major change to move the Central Business District boundary line, and it is very rarely done. The height limitation issues, a lot of the other issues that are planning issues need to be worked out in the Master Plan, and they are not small issues.

But the one thing that the Commission may want to comment on specifically is, there ha been discussion about if a new building is to be constructed on top of the theater and shopping center, how far back from the front street facade should that new building be set? And how far back it will be set is important in that it will affect the amount of building, or $I$ should say leasable, space that the owner is able to build, and it will affect how high the building has to be.

The issues that have been discussed just in some
meetings between Planning staff, including people from the Community Plans Department, the Urban Design Department and Historic Preservation, in these meetings with the applicant have been, you know, what is the appropriate way to treat this building without letting any new construction envelop or, you know, override the existing building so that you end up with simply a facade? Yet how do you have a building that will also fit in with a whole streetscape.

Right now there is the marquee and the pylon, and then about 50 feet back is where the auditorium of the theater begins. There is like a one-story lobby space, and then there is the auditorium, and the auditorium is maybe three stories. And there is a yellow brick wall with some black banding facing Wisconsin Avenue that is the face of that auditorium section. So if you were following me, you have the one-story facade, and then about 50 feet back it rises up to three stories, and it has this wall of yellow.

There is a picture of the theater in your packet, and you can try and look at that to sort of follow through, if you would like.

The question that has been raised is: If you have new construction, whatever height that may be -- at this point the applicant has been talking about 10 additional stories, but we are negotiating for maybe something more in the range of 6 to 7 additional stories. If you have some
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sort of new building block placed on the theater and shopping center, is it appropriate to have it set farther back where the auditorium starts or have it moved up maybe 20 to 25 feet behind the pylon.

And let me go ahead. We did have our Urban Design Division do two drawings to give you an idea of what each of these would like, and maybe $I$ will ask Mary Ann to take one and I will take the other and we will just walk by.

The one that Mary Ann has that she is going to be showing you -- and you can go right up on the stage, Mary Ann, and just walk by -- is what the applicant has currently proposed, with the new construction set approximately 50 feet back from the street frontage, and it would be equal with that existing yellow brick wall where the auditorium is. What Urban Design staff has been concerned about with that is, as the rest of that block develops, this building will be set so far back and will be taller than other development on the block, that you will essentially end up with what looks like a missing tooth on the block.

I want to go ahead and walk through the drawing where it shows the same building maybe 25 feet behind the pylon, and it would mean that you would have to get rid of that yellow brick wall or move the yellow brick wall forward. But the new building would be a little closer forward. In both cases, you will notice that there is some vertical
articulation. It is not going to be a flat wall just shooting up from the building. There will be a sort of art deco motif and some vertical articulation.

But let me go ahead and just take this other drawing by.
(Discussion among commissioners.)
COMMISSIONER HARRIS: Well, what is confusing is where the pylon is, Gwen, and that is what difficult.

MS. MARCUS: The question was, where is the pylon? The pylon is right at the street frontage, and 50 feet back from the pylon is the yellow brick wall.

COMMISSIONER HARRIS: But what I am not following is, if you look at the photograph, it looks like the back of the pylon is very close to the higher section on the existing building.

MS. MARCUS: Well, no, there's two higher sections.
COMMISSIONER HARRIS: But it is not really?
MS. MARCUS: The photograph doesn't show it very
well. The yellow pylon and the section that you see with the black banding is all -- that little raised yellow brick wall is right at the street frontage and would remain there.

VICE CHAIRMAN BOOTH: That is part of the facade.
MS. MARCUS: And that is part of the facade.
COMMISSIONER HARRIS: Okay. I got that.
MS. MARCUS: Fifty feet back, and you can't really
even see it well in the photograph, there is another yellow brick wall. So the one that is where the pylon is would not be disturbed any of these.

COMMISSIONER HARRIS: Oh, because that is part of the facade?

MS. MARCUS: That is correct.
VICE CHAIRMAN BOOTH: Right.
MS. MARCUS: That would not be disturbed under any of these scenarios.

COMMISSIONER HARRIS: Gotcha. So we can't really see the auditorium wall in this photograph?

MS. MARCUS: Correct.
VICE CHAIRMAN BOOTH: Right.
MS. MARCUS: It is very hard to see the auditorium wall in general unless you get at just the right angle, driving from the south going north, then you can sometimes see the auditorium wall. But for the most part, if you are just walking down the street, it is not very visible.

There was another question that I think Hank had, which has now gone out of my head. Do you remember, Hank?

COMMISSIONER HANDLER: As to what the other buildings --

MS. MARCUS: Oh, there was a question about what was going to happen with the other buildings next door. The one on the corner in the drawing that you are seeing would be
closer to you, just next to the theater and closer to you, is shown in the drawing as a one-story building, and it is currently a one-story used-car lot. They don't have enough space to do an optional method development, so the maximum that would ever build out to is three stories, but there is a likelihood that in the next 10 years that used-car lot will disappear and there will be a three-story building on that property.

VICE CHAIRMAN BOOTH: Now, Gwen, there is also a big parking lot behind the Cinema and Draft House.

MS. MARCUS: Correct.

VICE CHAIRMAN BOOTH: What is the situation? I mean, if we are talking about spacing, I mean, I would think that comes into the equation.

MS. MARCUS: Right. That parking lot, the alley between -- let me just finish answering Hank's question, and then $I$ will get to that.

VICE CHAIRMAN BOOTH: Okay.

MS. MARCUS: So to the south of the theater and shopping center you will have a three-story building ultimately. To the north of the theater and shopping center is Chevy Land Chevrolet. The building of Chevy Land Chevrolet on the corner is probably a five-story building right now. Then there is sort of a parking lot between that five-story building and the theater. We don't know when Chevy Land

Chevrolet will redevelop. It probably won't redevelop in the next 10 years. At least that is our anticipation. If it ever does, you could potentially do an optional method project on that site, and you could have a building, according to the guidelines in the Plan, of 90 feet in height.

Okay. Next to answer Walter's question. The alley behind the Cinema and Draft House separates it from the parking lot. That alley right now is the Central Business District boundary line. To do this project, they would have to -- the people who own the Cinema and Draft House also own the parking lot. To do this plan, they would have to on paper move the Central Business District boundary line into that parking lot to get enough land to do an optional method project. However, they don't need to build on that parking lot. They just need to have the land to do the optional method project.

> In fact, what their intention is, is to develop residential townhouses, probably, or garden apartments on that parking lot. And part of the problem is, and one of the things $I$ had suggested in a number of these meetings was, why can't you extend the building to the rear and then higher? Well, one of the problems is that that alley is needed by Chevy Land Chevrolet for their trucks or whatever, and it is going to be, from what we understand, impossible to abandon
that alley. So you would probably have to have a building that maybe spanned the alley and have like a tunnel underneath it.

There's also concerns in the community that is directly across Tilberry Street there about not having commercial development move in that direction. They want to keep it all up towards Wisconsin Avenue. They might be willing to look at some of this residential development on the parking lot, but there is really a strong opposition to any commercial development moving in that direction.

VICE CHAIRMAN BOOTH: The alley itself is in the CBD?

MS. MARCUS: The alley at this moment is the boundary line. I don't know if it goes down the middle of the alley or the east side or the west side of the alley.

VICE CHAIRMAN BOOTH: Okay.
COMMISSIONER KOUSOULAS: If they assembled the Draft House site with the small site next to it, is that big enough?

MS. MARCUS: They have tried to do that, and they are not able to negotiate that. They have tried very, very hard to put that negotiation together, that assemblage together, and the owner of the used-car lot will not.

COMMISSIONER KOUSOULAS: Well, but I think what the Commission --

MS. MARCUS: Do it!
COMMISSIONER KOUSOULAS: The Commission could keep in mind that owners change, people die, and eventually assemblies can take place. In fact, even Chevy Land could convey a portion of their lot to the Cinema and Draft House so that it is big enough and then get back a portion of that Chevy Land design and an easement, so that Chevy Land could use it but Cinema and Draft House now has a huge lot.

MS. MARCUS: Essentially what we are hearing, however, from the owner of the Cinema and Draft House, which is owned by a trust, is, if they cannot do an optional method project, it is their intention to not renew the lease with the current occupant of the Cinema and Draft House and to proceed with doing a standard method development project on the site, which would be a three-story office building with just the facade of the building retained, which is what they would be required to do under the way the Master Plan amendment reads.

COMMISSIONER KOUSOULAS: So it actually might be better off with a 10-story building on top --

MS. MARCUS: Well, it depends on how much you value the interior restoration. I think the Art Deco Society of Washington places a very high value on restoration of the interior of this theater, particularly because it is the only intact theater interior remaining in the county. The Druid

Theater has been gutted. The Silver Theater could be restored potentially, but it is in very bad condition now on the inside. It would need a lot of work. So this interior is the most intact and the most likely for preservation, if the owners would do it. So I guess part of it is just an issue of how much, you know, value is there in that interior restoration and in the retention of its use as a theater, rather than doing essentially what happened to the Druid theater to this theater, where, you know, the auditorium is essentially destroyed and it is just made into shops or offices.

CHAIRMAN RANDALL: Yes. As a former patron of the Druid Theater for a number of years, $I$ would say there is a lot of value to being able to preserve something as it was. I mean, that is almost a crime what happened there, and the storefronts popping out of there and now the new windows coming up on the sides. And $I$ would think if this is one of the remaining, perhaps the only one where that kind of effort can be made, and this other activity can be done as sympathetically as possible with it, that we would probably gain more than we would lose.

VICE CHAIRMAN BOOTH: I would have to agree with that, as someone who does patronize the Cinema and Draft House. If you haven't been inside, it is pretty unique. It apparently was renovated pretty extensively about 10 years
ago?
MS. MARCUS: Uh-huh.
VICE CHAIRMAN BOOTH: And it is an entertaining place. I mean, they get second-run movies and you pay about a buck or two. It is pretty cheap. On Friday and Saturday nights, they have comedy nights with stand-up comedians.

The facade -- my office used to be right around the corner, and I would always tell people to look for the Cinema and Draft House, and everybody knew where that was, and if they didn't, they would walk by and see the giant sign of "Bethesda."

And I look at things like Red Line Row downtown where they built the building right on top of the facades. And when you see that, you just want to say, "Why didn't they just level it?" I mean, honest to God, why didn't they just plow this down? Because all it is, is like this front. It is like formstone plastered on a modern building.

I would really like to see, if they are going to hang into it and the price is a 10 -story building, have them put it 50 feet back. Have them put it back where that yellow wall is. Because when you go up and down Wisconsin, you don't see the main part of that building there. I mean, you see the facade and you see the marquee, you see the "Bethesda" sign. But where the auditorium wall is, you don't see that. It is really far enough back. Now I think if I
lived on Tilberry, $I$ don't think $I$ would want a $10-s t o r y$ building there.

MS. MARCUS: It is interesting because, you know, it can be stepped back from Tilberry a bit, but staff thought a lot about Red Line Row also. And, frankly, we felt that the 50 -foot setback gave you something that looked more like Red Line Row than having it set forward.

And the idea of having sort of a 10 -story building rising in a very disconnected way from this one-story -- what reads as a one-story building and then suddenly, zip, 10 stories -- seemed to use to be a very harsh and not very sensitive treatment of the exterior of the building. In fact, we felt that it was in some ways preferable to look at a more integrated new construction with the one-story front section of the building that would seem like a more natural transition between the old and the new. Maybe stepping back slightly either vertically or horizontally from the front as well as from the back on Tilberry.

VICE CHAIRMAN BOOTH: But that is not indicated on these drawings.

MS. MARCUS: Well, those drawings are CAD, and they are real hard to maybe visualize.

VICE CHAIRMAN BOOTH: Okay.
MS. MARCUS: Doing computer drawings has its drawbacks, and it doesn't always give you the pure aesthetics
of what you will end up with. What we are trying to mainly do, though, is -- you know, and it may be that the Commission's aesthetic judgment will be that 50 feet back is better. I think, you know, our concern as staff was just that, if you have a one-story building and then 50 feet back, which is a fairly substantial amount back on a streetscape, and suddenly you would have it then shooting up 10 stories, you would have a real sense of disconnection; and that the building, new building if there is to be one, needs to somehow be more integrated in and more gradual.

Oh, what Nancy was just saying is that Red Line Row is set back just a bit more than 40 feet from the historic buildings.

So, you know, I think we are certainly -- I think everyone is attempting to achieve the same goal. I know the Art Deco Society has given a lot of thought to this, too. No one wants facadism, and no one wants Red Line Row. The hard design issue is: How do you avoid it?

COMMISSIONER KOUSOULAS: Well, I think, one thing that occurs to me, the tower might be best back at 50 feet as opposed to 25 , but a two- or three-story mass above the theater facade that is back at 25 , rather than too incremental a step, but just get a third in there that mediates between the smallest one at the street and the tower might be the best.

The other thing is, I mean, a similar situation to this is the Greyhound. I mean, much larger scale there, but basically what is going to end up being a beige building abutting up to a limestone and yellowish facade, or concrete, and it is very similar, I think. And some of the problems in that solution could happen here also, some of the previous iterations of such.

MS. MARCUS: Yes, I think staff in talking feels like the Greyhound Terminal, which is a completed project now, is problematic. You essentially have this little terminal building that is maybe two stories, and literally just growing from behind it, I don't know what it is -probably a 12 - or 15 -story building -- I mean, with no transition at all. There again is some vertical articulation, but it is just shooting up from behind this. It looks -- you know, you sort of wonder which came first, the skyscraper or the little building that is an appendage to it. And I think that is our real concern here, is we don't want the theater here to end up looking like an appendage.

COMMISSIONER HARRIS: I think the key is that, that there is something that is a transition piece between a facade and the tower, and that to me is almost more important than where it is. I mean, further back, the better, I suppose, but and if it was designed properly, it could become a pretty wonderful backdrop for the pylon and the facade.

CHAIRMAN RANDALL: Let me ask this. Is it, I
gather October 8 coming up with the worksession on that, can we not at this point express agreement, if you will, with the concept but without the final kind of design tailoring? I mean, it seems that we are looking at some drawings that may or may not really represent what we think they are. We are shooting a little bit in the blind. I would be reluctant, unless we really have a good sense that we are suggesting something that is good, that maybe it ought to get noodled a little bit more. And if we can go on record, presuming that is what the Commission chooses, with finding that as a good tradeoff for the preservation of this structure and appropriate to do it so long as it is sympathetic and with further thoughts to be forthcoming based on perhaps a little bit more noodling or a little bit more information. That might be one way to deal with it.

MS. MARCUS: Yes. Certainly, there is no design decision being requested from the Commission this evening. What we are trying to get is testimony for a Public Hearing. And $I$ think what $I$ am hearing -- and correct me if $I$ am wrong -- is the Commission is saying they feel the preservation of the interior is very important. They feel the preservation of the use as a theater is very important; that as an optional method project, those appear to be very significant amenities to justify additional development on the property;
that there are some design concerns, but essentially the Commission, their bottom line is that they would like to see whatever new construction occurs be done in a way that is sympathetic and doesn't isolate the front of the theater building in an inappropriate way. Something along those lines?

COMMISSIONER KOUSOULAS: Just an added thought. It
must look good.
MS. MARCUS: Oh! Great, okay.
COMMISSIONER KOUSOULAS: About the boundary that, I mean, that is actually, $I$ think we are in agreement that that might be a good idea, if the boundary could shift so that we could get the preservation of the interior.

MS. MARCUS: I think that certainly the Commission could support shifting the CBD boundary line to allow this to be an optional method project because the optional method is so important. One piece of information I had heard just this afternoon -- and we have been talking about shifting the boundary line very slightly to give them, you know, 2, 3,000 additional square feet of land, to bring them up to the amount they need for optional method. We are now hearing that they may be coming in to us asking for 20,000 square feet of additional land, a major shift in the Central Business District boundary line.

So some of this has to be balanced. There is a
possibility of the building, in a sense, and the preservation of the building being held hostage to allow really very extravagant changing of the rules for this project, and I think if there was some balance there.

CHAIRMAN RANDALL: I would think -- yes, it would seem to me that we -- I suspect, implicit in the choice of building, the structure and so forth, is some movement of those boundaries. But we ought not to get caught up into what is going to become, no doubt, some kind of political controversy about how much it should go. I would think that we may be better off saying that what has been presented to us, which is --

MS. MARCUS: The text from the draft?
CHAIRMAN RANDALL: -- an ability to preserve this structure, the use of the structure, the interior, with some proposed construction above it; that while we have concerns about how sympathetic it would be and so forth, that we find that an appropriate balance here, implicit in that, no doubt, would be that there has got to be some movement of the boundary. But I would think that we don't want to get caught up in that discussion of whether or not it ought to be 20 feet, 100 feet or 200 . And so $I$ would think that we might be better shying away from specific discussion on the boundary side, because somebody may try to suck us into that.

MS. MARCUS: Yes. I think that you will be --
there will be parties who will be interested in drawing you into that.

CHAIRMAN RANDALL: Uh-huh, yes. Anything else on this?

COMMISSIONER CLEMMER: Just one thought on this. CHAIRMAN RANDALL: Yes.

COMMISSIONER CLEMMER: It sound like this is all very fluid in that they keep coming up with offers, offers, offers. Any good salesman, any good bargainer, is going to counter offer.

And maybe I will get my chops banged here a little bit, but we can be in the counter-offering business. There is nothing that says we have to accept them at face value on what they are going to do. Why does that have to be 10 stories? Because that is the maximum. Why not just counter and say, "Fine. You can do what you want." If we slam the book down and say absolutely nothing, they are going to run this thing up three stories and walk away and leave us with a pile of trash. We will counter. Set it back 50 feet, but we also think that, besides restoring the interior, that it should be kept to five stories, which goes along with what I am reading on this streetscape, five stories. That way, we are more comfortable. We are in our purview. We are within our bounds of reviewing this is an architectural wonder, that 10 stories is a bit overwhelming, but we could counter by
saying that five stories is enough here. You want to max out your profits. Ten stories is not going to be possible. We will limit you to three, and we will walk away both losers. But if you want to be a winner and we want to be a winner, let's go with five stories. You get two extra floors, and we are happy.

MS. MARCUS: Uh-huh. I think that is very similar to exactly what the Planning staff is saying to this developer, and it is in the midst of negotiation, and will see, you know, sort of who blinks first kind of thing, and we will see who blinks first.

CHAIRMAN RANDALL: Well, why don't we go into it with our eyes in a stare? I mean, I think that is a reasonable approach. I mean, you know, we get ourselves backed into these things sometimes, starting off with assumptions, and don't really look to see what some of the options are.

COMMISSIONER NORKIN: Well, I think it is more than reasonable, because the thought that $I$ would like to interject here along those lines while we are negotiating is that, to my mind, preservation of a current use, when the building is already a theater and going to give us a theater, I don't view that as much of a public amenity on the part of the developer. My idea of a public amenity is that you perhaps maintain a current use. They get more development, and here is something else. And so in line with the negotiating
stance that Gregg just outlined, from my mind we are giving up, we are giving up the requirement that they provide an amenity. We are saying, we will settle for the continuation of the current use and we are not going to require you to find someplace in here to build a fountain or a park or a gallery. It's just one other thing. I just don't think that is -- that doesn't strike me as an amenity.

MS. MARCUS: Yes. I think that it may be appropriate. Again, you know, I would just recommend the Commission get into this, not get into the foray completely, but essentially $I$ think our testimony can say that we do have concerns about the appropriateness of a 10-story building on top of this historic building. We, you know, certainly are interested in seeing the interior preserved and the use preserved, but we want to balance that against an appropriate treatment of the exterior. And, you know, we don't have to even come to a conclusion in our testimony at the Planning Board, but just to essentially raise those issues, that there are these concerns and that the Commission isn't, you know, going to sort of say, "Oh, goody, 10 stories." We are going to just accept it.

CHAIRMAN RANDALL: But then we get aced out of the discussion beyond that, don't we?

MS. MARCUS: I'm sorry?
CHAIRMAN RANDALL: I mean, it seems to me that we
go in and we say we have concern with 10 stories. Then the discussion is going to move over to a group of different parties. The Commission will have skirmished and be gone, and it may be well recognize that no doubt negotiations and further discussions are going to take place with other parties. It may be reasonable to go in with that marker, becaUse I think that we are not -- I mean; we may have some chance to participate, but my guess is, seeing how these things go, a lot of discussion is going to be over here and we are going to be here.

I would think maybe we ought to go in and indicate that, while we think that a five-story structure, done sympathetically, could be compatible, not harmful to that structure. We have real questions as to whether a taller structure might compromise the integrity of the site.

MS. MARCUS: I would also just suggest, rather than using "stories," because there is some debate over like how many feet are in a story, that we say, they are proposing a 143-foot-tall building, and what staff has looked at is a 90-foot-tall building; not total height, at the highest point 90 feet.

CHAIRMAN RANDALL: Yes.
MS. MARCUS: So what you could say is that you find a 90-foot-tall building more appropriate and a 143-foot-tall building less.

COMMISSIONER KOUSOULAS: How tall is the present
Bethesda Building?
MS. MARCUS: I don't know. It is right -- it is probably 143 feet if it is right at the Metro.

CHAIRMAN RANDALL: Well, let me see if I can get a sense of the Commission. I think I know exactly where Commissioner Clemmer comes from, because it was his idea, and I think it is a good one. Commissioner Kousoulas?

COMMISSIONER KOUSOULAS: Yeah.
CHAIRMAN RANDALL: Okay.
COMMISSIONER NORKIN: Right, I agree.
MS. MARCUS: So strong support for a 90-foot-tall building.

COMMISSIONER NORKIN: Just to clarify something, what Bert was just saying. We wouldn't be aced out of it, presumably. If this is a historic site, they would presumably have to, once they really wanted to do what they want to do, it is going to be a HAWP application, is it not?

MS. MARCUS: Right. However, it will make your HAWP easier if the Master Plan limits the height to 90 feet. You won't be put in the position of getting a HAWP application in for 143 feet, which is consistent with something negotiated in the Master Plan, and having to say to the people, "Oh, but we want it to only be 90 feet."

COMMISSIONER NORKIN: No.

CHAIRMAN RANDALL: Is it a buildable height going upwards?

MS. MARCUS: Yes. So I think again this is a little bit of the advantage of having sort of joint staff with the Planning Board, because we will continue to be involved in all of these negotiations all the way through and be able to promote the HPC saying we want a 90 -foot building, and that will give strength to that, hopefully, happening ultimately.

COMMISSIONER NORKIN: Especially since, who knows what commission it will be in the future?

MS. MARCUS: But we will go ahead and emphasize the 90 feet then, and we will sort of say something a little less firm about how far it should be set back. We will just have language saying it should be set back in a way that is sensitive and that doesn't isolate the front part of the building. Or I will think of some better words, but that is sort of the general idea. Does that sound --

CHAIRMAN RANDALL: Use the word "frontality." I have always liked that. I don't know what it means, but --

MS. MARCUS: It is not too abrupt a transition between the one-story front section and the rest, something like that.

CHAIRMAN RANDALL: Yes. Does that do it on the Bethesda CBD?

MS. MARCUS: Yes.

CHAIRMAN RANDALL: Okay, good. We have managed to spend as much on that as we did, the entire HAWPs. Any staff items that you want to bring up?

MS. MARCUS: Just a few reports. I have mentioned this to some people individually, but $I$ will just go through it.

On Monday we had a FED Committee meeting regarding the North Bethesda-Garrett Park sites. The FED Committee recommended the smokehouse, the Parklawn Cemetery site, which is the Wilkins Estate, and they did not recommend Timberlawn or at the church, the Mt. Zion Church and Cemetery. They recommended the cemetery only for designation, but not the church. And they agreed with the HPC against designation of the Arnolda Estate and Holly Oaks.

So there was agreement on two positives, agreement on two negatives, and disagreement on Timberlawn, which HPC had recommended in favor of, and on Mt. Zion Church.

CHAIRMAN RANDALL: A question. Mt. Zion Church, was the church itself there opposing it?

MS. MARCUS: They had submitted a letter at the Public Hearing. They were not in attendance at the FED Committee meeting.

CHAIRMAN RANDALL: Because my recollection on that one was that they weren't really strongly opposed at the time
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Ms. Robin D. Ziek<br>Maryland National Capital Park<br>and Planning Commission<br>1109 Spring Street, $8^{\text {th }}$ Floor<br>Silver Spring, MD 20910

Re: Bethesda Theater Project
Dear Ms. Ziek:
Enclosed please find the list of adjoining and confronting property owners, as we discussed yesterday, along with 2 sets of mailing labels.

Also enclosed are 10 copies of the photographs submitted yesterday to be distributed to all of the Commissioners.

Should you have any questions or need further information please call me.
Sincerely,
LINOWES AND BLOCHER LLP


Emily J. Vaiàs
EJV:sbw
Attachments

cc: Mr. Eugene M. Smith Mr. Sanford Silverman Robert H. Metz, Esquire

LINOWESANDBLOCHER LIf $_{\text {LI }}$<br>301.588 .8580<br>Fax 301.495.9044<br>Robert H. Metz<br>301.650.7012

Ms. Robin D. Ziek<br>Montgomery County Department of Park<br>and Planning<br>1109 Spring Street<br>$8^{\text {th }}$ floor<br>Silver Spring, Maryland 20910

Re: Preliminary Consultation with Historic Preservation Commission Bethesda Theater Project

Dear Ms. Ziek:
On behalf of our client, the BETA Corporation, we submit this letter and enclosed materials for review by the Historic Preservation Commission. As explained in more detail below, BETA is seeking approval of a Project Plan and a Rezoning Application for its properties in the Bethesda Central Business District and before initiating that review process, is seeking preliminary comments from the Historic Preservation Commission regarding the proposal. Enclosed herewith please find a report prepared by Oehrlein \& Associates Architects, and a Site Plan and elevations of the above proposed project as well as interior and exterior photographs of the Theater.

As you can see from the enclosed drawings, BETA is proposing a 185 unit apartment building to be constructed overtop of the Bethesda Theater Café with the use of a 12 foot truss system. Adjacent to this structure and connected by a lobby area will be a four-story garden apartment building backing onto the existing alley along the Chevy Land property. These garden apartments will include approximately 26 units and will be located on property in the PD-35 Zone pending a local Map Amendment Application. Also on this PD zoned property will be 23 townhouses which will front onto Tilbury and Middleton respectively. This proposal coincides directly with the Bethesda Central Business District Sector Plan in terms of design but gives the added benefit of additional residential units in the CBD which were not anticipated.

The high-rise apartment building, atop the Theater, will be part of a CBD-2 Optional Method Development Plan. As part of this Optional Method process and as specified in the Sector Plan, BETA will be preserving the front marquee and facade of the Theater as well as the interior of the lobby, foyer and auditorium. As noted in the enclosed Oehrlein report, BETA intends to construct a new truss system to hang the existing ceiling which will be altered only as necessary to accommodate the installation of a fire suppression system and other mechanical requirements. BETA is anxious to move forward with the proposed project, and believes that the proposed design will respect the historic elements of the front of the Theater while still providing an economically viable use of the air rights. As you are aware, the design was driven by many constraints above and beyond the typical development standards of the Zoning Ordinance. That is, there is pressure to keep the high rise structure away from the neighborhood while also keeping it an adequate distance from the marquee while all the
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while maintaining the urban streetscape along Wisconsin Avenue. In addition, the Sector Plan limits the height of the building but requires preservation of the Theater, which necessitates a 12 foot truss system. Lastly, the Sector Plan anticipated an office use for the property, however, BETA is attempting to bring much needed residential development to the area. When looking at all these, and many other issues surrounding the proposed development, BETA believes the proposed plans exhibit a well-thought out and designed project and preserves the essential element of the Bethesda Theater.

We understand that this matter will be brought before the Historic Preservation Commission on May $22^{\text {th }}, 1997$, for a preliminary consultation. At that time, we will also provide a massing model of the surrounding area. If you have any questions or need any additional information, please feel free to contact us.

My best regards.
Very truly yours,
RHM:sbw
Enclosures
cc: $\quad$ Ms. Gwen Wright
Mr. Eugene M. Smith
Ms. Mary Oehrlein
Brian J. Gibbons, Esquire
Mr. Sanford Silverman
Mr. Charles A. Irish, Jr.
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## BETHESDA THEATER 7715-7723 Wisconsin Avenue

## General Background Information

On May 19, 1938, the theater opened to the public as the Boro Theater, with great fanfare and the showing of "Bluebeard's Eighth Wife". The theater was very soon after renamed the Bethesda Theater. Designed as a movie theater by John Eberson, a New York architect, the theater was constructed by the David L. Stern Construction Co. of Washington. A supplement to the Bethesda Chevy Chase Tribune published two days prior to the theater opening included advertisements by some of the materials suppliers for the building, with many in the Washington area including Rose Brothers Roofing, Fred S. Gichner Iron Works, Washington Woodworking Company, Edmonds Art Stone, and Potomac Electric Power Company. The signature sign was provided by Lou Sherman Sign Corporation of Long Island City, New York. The theater was described as a "triumph in modern theater construction", using the most modern materials and construction techniques and exceeding "the requirements of strict State laws in every detail."

Most of the original building materials of the theater remain intact. On the exterior, the original northernmost retail space and storefront has been removed and the existing north storefront has been altered, but the original south storefront is largely intact including the ornamental aluminum trim and the enamel panels at the base. The enamel panels have been painted in imitation of green marble to match the remaining original marble at the theater entrance. The original masonry, metal trim, marquee and other finishes of the Wisconsin Avenue (west) facade, the theater entrance and ticket booth also remain and are in generally good condition. At the secondary west elevation and the north, south and east elevations, the original buff and red brick walls remain, but are in only fair physical condition.

At the interior, the original space configuration and most of the original finishes remain intact. Finishes at the lounges and toilet rooms have been partially replaced, a new enclosure has been constructed at the rear of the auditorium and a new floor structure with platforms has replaced the original continuously sloped floor in the auditorium. Other than these, and other minor alterations, the interior plaster, wood trim, lighting and portions of the original decorative painting remain.

## Description of the Proposed Project

The proposed project will retain and preserve the Wisconsin Avenue facade and the interior of the Bethesda Theater, while constructing a new apartment building above the theater building and townhouses to the rear. The new ten floor building above the theater will be set back twenty feet from the Wisconsin Avenue elevation and will be supported by 12 foot deep trusses spanning over the top of the theater. The existing roof and roof trusses of the theater will most likely be removed to allow installation of the new trusses, and the existing interior ceiling hung from the new trusses.

At the interior of the building, the proposed project will preserve the existing configuration and finishes of the lobby, lounges, foyer and auditorium. The only proposed alterations of the theater interior are the installation of a fire suppression system and the improvement of access for disabled patrons. Columns supporting the new trusses will likely be located at the interior of the west portion of north wall of the auditorium because of exterior space restrictions. The size, location, number and detailing of the new columns has not yet been determined. Other supporting columns will be probably located at the exterior. Alteration at the north retail space will be required to allow one of the new apartment building egress stairs to exit to Wisconsin Avenue. It may be necessary to modify the non-original storefront at the north retail space to accommodate a new exit door.

At the exterior, the Wisconsin Avenue facade masonry, ornamental metal, storefronts, ticket booth, and marquee will be retained and preserved in the existing configuration. The brickwork of the secondary Wisconsin Avenue facade, which occurs approximately 52 feet to the east of Wisconsin Avenue, will be removed along with portions of the masonry at the top of the north and south walls to allow installation of the new trusses. The south wall which faces a new public sidewalk will be faced with new brick, except for the first twenty feet adjacent to Wisconsin Avenue where the original buff brick will remain exposed. The existing east elevation of the theater will be enclosed within the new construction. Treatment at the north elevation, which faces a narrow passageway and alley, has not been yet been determined but it may also be faced with new brick due to the poor condition of the existing masonry.

## Benefits of the Proposed Project

The proposed project will retain and preserve the Wisconsin Avenue facade, the marquee and the marquee tower; the primary character defining elements of the building. The proposed set back of 20 feet will allow the marquee and marquee tower to continue to be viewed as distinct and separate elements of the Wisconsin Avenue streetscape. At the same time, the set back will not be so great as to disrupt the character and alignment of the building facades along the property line of Wisconsin Avenue. The continuous and consistent facade line which defines the blocks to the south and west will, presumably, one day exist in this block; the set back will allow the theater to retain an identity on Wisconsin Avenue without creating a "missing tooth" gap in the streetscape.

As an additional benefit, the primary public spaces of the interior, which remain largely as originally constructed, will be retained and preserved. The proposed project will result in retention of the building and insure the long term preservation of both the exterior and the interior of the Bethesda Theater.
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## LIST OF ADJOINING AND CONFRONTING OWNERS

| TAX ACCT. NO. | NAME | ADDRESS | LOT | BLOCK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WESTBORO |  |  |  |  |
| 07-00539313 | BETA Corporation c/o MD Nat'l Bank TR EST DEPT | P.O. Box 995, M/S 10-06-04 Baltimore, MD 21203 | 1 | 2 |
| 07-00539517 | F\&H Limited Partnership c/o Henry A. Bowis | 974 Millwood Lane Great Falls, VA 22066 | 2 | 2 |
| 07-00539506 | F\&H Limited Partnership c/o Henry A. Bowis | 974 Millwood Lane Great Falls, VA 22066 | 7 | 2 |
| 07-01926671 | F\&H Limited Partnership c/o Henry A. Bowis | 974 Millwood Lane Great Falls, VA 20066 | 8 | 2 |
| 07-00539324 | BETA Corporation c/o MD Nat'l Bank TR. EST DEPT | P.O. Box 995, M/S 10-06-04 Baltimore, MD 21203 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Outlot } \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 2 |
| 07-00539335 | BETA Corporation c/o MD Nat'l Bank TR. EST DEPT | P.O. Box 995, M/S 10-06-04 Baltimore, MD 21203 | 1 | 2 |
| 07-00539346 | BETA Corporation c/o MD Nat'l Bank TR. EST DEPT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P.O. Box 995, M/S 10-06-04 } \\ & \text { Baltimore, MD } 21203 \end{aligned}$ | 2 | 2 |
| 07-00539357 | BETA Corporation c/o MD Nat' 1 Bank TR. EST DEPT | P.O. Box 995, M/S 10-06-04 Baltimore, MD 21203 | 3 | 2 |
| 07-00539368 | BETA Corporation c/o MD Nat'l Bank TR. EST DEPT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P.O. Box 995, M/S 10-06-04 } \\ & \text { Baltimore, MD } 21203 \end{aligned}$ | 4 | 2 |
| 07-00539370 | BETA Corporation c/o MD Nat'l Bank TR. EST DEPT | P.O. Box 995, M/S 10-06-04 Baltimore, MD 21203 | 5 | 2 |
| 07-00539381 | BETA Corporation c/o MD Nat'l Bank TR. EST DEPT | P.O. Box 995, M/S 10-06-04 Baltimore, MD 21203 | 6 | 2 |
| 07-0540254 | Maryland National Capital Park \& Planning Commission | 8787 Georgia Avenue Silver Spring, MD 20910 | 7 | 1 |
| 07-00539563 | Community Motors, Inc. | 4904 Hampden Lane Bethesda, MD 20814 | 8 | 1 |
| 07-00539745 | 7809 Wisconsin Avenue Assoc. Ltd. Ptnshp. c/o Jenco Group | $124419^{\text {th }}$ Street, N.W., $2^{\text {nd }}$ Fl. Washington, D.C. 20036 | 16 | 1 |
| 07-00539767 | 7809 Wisconsin Avenue Assoc. Ltd. Ptnshp. c/o Jenco Group | 1244 19 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street, N.W., $2^{\text {nd }}$ Fl. Washington, D.C. 20036 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Pt} \\ \text { Outlot } \\ \mathrm{A} \end{gathered}$ | 1 |
| 07-00539791 | Paul G. Spillane \& Jill D. Pascoe | 4616 Sleaford Rd. Bethesda, MD 20814 | 13 | 3 |


| TAX ACCT. NO. | NAME | ADDRESS | LOT | BLOCK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07-00540345 | Kathleen L. McDuffie | 4615 Sleaford Rd. Bethesda, MD 20814 | I | 5 |
| 07-00539437 | Guy M. Clevel \& Martine Combemale | 7711 Tilbury St. Bethesda, MD 20814 | 2 | 5 |
| 07-00540094 | Robert L. Connelly, et al. | 4614 Cheltenham Drive Bethesda, MD 20814-3510 | 3 | 5 |
| 07-00540664 | Frank H. Shultz, $3^{\text {rd }}$, et al. | 4617 Cheltenham Drive Bethesda, MD 20814 | 1 | 6 |
| WOODMONT |  |  |  |  |
| 07-00551018 | Charles H. Goldberg tr. et al. | 9708 Meyer Point Drive Potomac, MD 20854 | 613 |  |
| 07-00550936 | Boyce L. \& M.A. Blackwell | 6700 Tulip Hill Terr. <br> Washington, D.C. 20816 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pt } 533 \\ & \& 532 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 07-00551257 | F\&H Limited Partnership c/o Henry A. Bowis | 974 Millwood Lane Great Falls, VA 22066 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{Pt} \\ 527, \\ 528, \\ 529 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 07-00552638 | Thomas W. Perry, Jr. | 6 West Melrose Street Chevy Chase, MD 20815 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Pt} \\ 530, \\ 531, \\ 532 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 07-00416405 | Manor Inn Bethesda, Inc. | 3299 K Street, N.W., \#700 <br> Washington, D.C. 20006 | N131 | HN22 |
| 07-00420704 | Alvin J. Steinberg | 3538 Raymoor Rd. Kensington, MD 20895 | N140 | HN22 |
| 07-02688378 | Bethesda Place Ltd. Ptnshp c/o Safeway Stores Holding Corp. | 5530 Wisconsin Ave., \#1000 Chevy Chase, MD 20815 | N185 | HN22 |
| RABNER'S SUB. |  |  |  |  |
| 07-0425193 | Margaret G. H. Gilece | 1234 Cherry Tree Ln. Annapolis, MD 21403 | 1 |  |
| 07-00430510 | Montgomery County, EOB | 101 Monroe Street Rockville, MD 20850 | 2 |  |
| 07-00430510 | Montgomery County, EOB | 101 Monroe Street Rockville, MD 20850 | $\begin{array}{r} 3,4, \\ 5,6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| 07-00432096 | D. Gay Wade | P.O. Box 719 Glen Echo, MD 20812 | 7 |  |
| CIVIC ASSOCIATIONS \& HOMEOWNERS |  |  |  |  |
| 41 | East Bethesda Citizens Assn. c/o Dean Ahmad | 4323 Rosedale Drive Bethesda, MD 20814 951-0539 |  |  |
| 42 | East Edgemoor Property Owners Assn. c/o Lawrence Funt/Pres. | 4905 Edgemoor Ln Bethesda, MD 20814 h-652-4857; 0-657-4200 |  |  |
| 48 | Battery Park Citizens Assn. c/o David Hayzlett/Pres. | 8011 Maple Ridge Road Bethesda, MD 20814 h-301-654-7178 |  |  |


| TAX ACCT. NO. | NAME | ADDRESS | LOT | BLOCK |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 263 | Battery Lane Tenants Assn. <br> c/o Steven Williams | c/o Alden Management Corp. <br> 4858 Battery Lane <br> Bethesda, MD 20814 <br> $(0) 656-1306$ |  |  |
| 669 | Bethesda Coalition <br> c/o Dennis Wood/Pres. | 4109 Woodbine Street <br> Chevy Chase, MD 20815 <br> h-656-8042; w-951-5546 |  |  |
| 717 | Spanish Speaking People of <br> Bethesda <br> c/o Pedro Porro/Pres. | 5729 Bradley Blvd. <br> Bethesda, MD 20814 <br> h-320-3761; o-202-622-1918 |  |  |
| 675 | Greater Bethesda-Chevy Chase <br> Coalition <br> c/o Cathie Titus/Co-Chair | 8616 Fenway Drive <br> Chevy Chase, MD 20817 |  |  |
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REX. EAST WEST SECTION THRU THEATER interior design

## WDG

Bethesda Cafe Theatre Residential

## SITE DATA:

Building Height Allowed: 90 Feet
Building Height Provided: 94 Feet with setbacks @ 82 feet \& 63 feet.
No. of Units Allowed: @ 200 D.U./acre = 198
No. of Units Provided: 188
No. of MPDU'S @ $15 \%=28$
Unit Breakdown: $\quad \mathrm{Eff}=22$

$$
\mathrm{Jr} .1 \mathrm{Br} .=10
$$

Reg. $1 \mathrm{Br}=.73$

$$
\text { Lux.1Br. }=10
$$

$$
1 \mathrm{Br} .+\mathrm{D}=35
$$

$$
2 \mathrm{Br} .=34
$$

$$
2 \mathrm{Br}+\mathrm{D}=4
$$

TOTAL: 188 Units*

Parking Required: 194 Spaces
Parking Provided: 240 Spaces
Public Use Space Required @ $20 \%$ of net lot area $=7923$ sq.ft. Req'd. Amenity Space Provided: @ 19.5\% $=7750$ sq.ft.

PD-35 Site Area: 63,139 sq.ft.
@ 35 Units/Acre $=50$ Units allowed.
Units Provided: 23 Townhouses
26 Apartments
Total 49 Units
Required Green Space @ $50 \%=31,570$ sq.ft.
Provided Green Space @ $48 \%=30,268$ sq.ft.
Townhouse Max. Bldg. Ht. Allowed $=35$ feet Townhouse Bldg. Ht. provided. $=35$ feet

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { CBD-2 Site Area: } 43,144 \text { sq.ft. } \\
& \text { @ } 5.0 \mathrm{FAR}=\quad 215,720 \text { sq.ft. } \\
& \text { Less Theatre Area }=15,597 \text { sq.ft. } \\
& \text { Allow. Gross Fl.Area }=200,123 \text { sq.ft. } \\
& \text { Prov'd. Gross Fl.Area }=200,123 \text { sq.ft. } \\
& \text { Gross Lot Area }=43,144 \text { s.f. } \\
& \text { Less Wisc. Ave. R.O.W. = } 2035 \text { s.f. } \\
& \text { Less Middleton Lane R.O.W. }=1496 \text { s.f. } \\
& \text { NET LOT AREA: 39,613 s.f. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## The Bethesda Cinema 'N' Drafthouse

Surrounded by undistinguished modern commercial buildings, the Marquee of the bethesda Cinema 'N' Drafthouse is dwarfed by the signage of the adjacent Chevy Chase Chevrolet and the sheer bulk of this car dealership. Other new construction is near the theatre, much of it of recent origin.

Two blocks prior to the theatre it can barely be viewed because it is blocked by another four story structure of recent origin.

Built in the form of a square block, the Bethesda Theatre is constructed of blonde brick. Although the front portion of the building consists of only one story, there is a one-half story false front which gives the facade more substance. The lightness of the facade is punctuated by two double parallel bands of black brick. The first floor reads as two large bays divided by the ticket booth which is trimmed with grooved aluminum. Set within these bays are the doors to the theatre. The entrance bays are flanked on either side with movie notice cases also trimmed with aluminum.

Atop the entry way sits the theatre's marquee which runs the full length of the facade. It is of substantial width and constructed of metal. The edges of the marquee are curved with these curves articulated with the grooved decorative aluminum material. The edges of the marquee are trimmed with a set of double neon parallel lines. Rising from the marquee is a tall rectangular formation said to look ... "like a miniature
skyscraper." Within the tower the word "Bethesda" is spelled in neon; portions of the neon in the sign are not working.

As the building proceeds towards the rear lot line, there is a dip where it proceeds as a one story structure and then rises to two. The most rear portion of the building is of red brick. The light massing of this rear block is also accented with a triple set of double bands of black brick.

Originally called the "Boro", the theatre was constructed as part of a small commercial complex. Hence, the theatre is flanked on either side by small, one-story commercial units. Both units appear to have lost their original fenestration and presently have signs unsympathetic to the character of the theatre and the units themselves. The sign for "Custom Flowers" is placed low and obscures the windows whereas the sign for the other unit is placed at a different level detracting from the sense of this as a commercial complex. The northern-most side of the northern commercial unit connects to the Chevrolet dealership which in turn is linked to the larger dealership building with a plastic arch and an ongoing sign.

The Bethesda Theatre presents a number of features which can be categorized in the style of Art Deco. Conspicious use of modern building materials such as neon, grooved aluminum and blonde brick is one Art Deco characteristic present in this theatre. Other characteristics typical of the "streamlined" aspect of Art Deco may be found in the facade of the building, such as the curving of the edges of the marquee and the sleek, smart lines of double bands of black brick. Art Deco strove to
be a style "moderne,", and what could be more modern than the image of a skyscraper glittering with lights and rising up from the marquee?

While this building retains much of its original historic fabric, it has also sustained some serious losses to its integrity. The proud marquee once sported tiny white light bulbs perhaps reminiscent of the "Great White Way" in New York. These lights have now all been removed. A new, plastic sign has been placed immediately below the marquee which detracts from the facade of the building.

Although the interior of the building is not being evaluated at this time, it should be noted that all of the seats in the theatre have been removed and replaced with more commodious chairs and tables. A large snack bar has been placed in the theatre area, as has a large organ which is not contemporary to the theatre.

The Bethesda Theatre is not at present a unique resource in the metropolitan Washington area. At least 13 examples of these theatres designed by Eberson are cited in Wirz \& Striner's book. A windshield survey of the majority of these theatres reveals that many are in a state of disrepair and have had unsympathetic renovations, but most are still standing and have as many original architetural features albeit some are obscured as are those of the Bethesda Theatre. Almost all are flanked by smaller commercial units designed and constructed at the same time. Most have some type of tower configuration inserted into the marquee. Many have decorative elements of equal or greater significance than those of the Bethesda Theatre.

Boro Theatre

## BORO THEATRE OPENS THURSDAY

## Movie House Ready For First Performance



Boro Thealre, the lasi word in thealre construction, whieh will he opened here Thurgday nighl with an impressive progrnm heginning at 8:15 o'clock. The new amusement palace boasts the lateat in aound and projection equipment and is fitted with ultra-modern features for the comfort of its patrons. The theatre will operate under the direction of Sidney Lust, head of a large chain of theatres in Wnahington. Maryland and Virginia.

## Boro Is Triumph In Field Of Modern Construction

Bethesda can well be proud of its new Bneo Theatre, which will have its formal pening. Thursday nigbt
Determined to give this cammunity a theatre second to none in the Washingonilding of this beautiful cdifice, Development Co. has spared no expense in the construction.
Persons viewing the Boro for the fts type in the Washington terriory frst time will thrill to its architecturai $\begin{aligned} & \text { The ail-metal canopy is shaped in semi }\end{aligned}$ beauty. From its marguee and front oval fashion, and has two large opai doors, on thraugh its lobby, foyer and giase panek for siihouette-type letters auditorium, the Boro breathes the very Bands of traveling lights run unde spirit of modernism.
John Eberson, celebrated New York rchitee, who has severaj of the newer signed the Boro, and has succeeded in making it the epitome of luxury, beauty and comfart. David L. Stern, Washagton builder, was the general conlra tor.

Marquee Impresaive
Upon approaching the theatre, one is immediately impressed with its beautl-
ful end massive marquee, the largest of

Hollywood Stars Wire
Congratulations To Boro

Telegrams poured inta the office ol Sidney Lust today in a seemingly end less stream, eacb one carrying some per sonal message of good wiil. A few of hose received foliaw
My alneere best wishes for many -Cla in Bethesda. -Claade
My heartlest congratulation he opening of your new Boro Theatre. Ginger Rogers.

A land of good wlahes to you on he opening of your new Boro Theatre.
Congratulatiane ta yau on the pening of your new theatre in Bethesda. May it keep coming in a winner. -Bing Crosby.
Good luck far the f the new Boro
See Congratul -Myrna Loy

The doors of the theatre wiil be opened at 7 P. M. to permit early arrivais to inepect the vacious teatures of The luxurlous and uitra-modern interior The dedication exercises wiil start a
B:ts $0^{\circ}$ clock with Brooke Johns, former 7iegield Foilies slar and for many years the vaudevllie partner of Ann Penning ton, acting as master of ceremonies. Jnhn A. Overholt, president of the Bethesda Chamber of Commerce, will make the address of weicome, which will be followed by the introduclion of celchrities.
After carefui consideration. Mr. Lusi selected "Blurberard's Eighth Wite, on dramas, as the principal film attraction for the opening night:s pregram.

Film Is Outalanding
Starring Gary Coulver and thatedte Coirected by Firlure was produred anil reatment of this Altred Sa voir Parisian farce has mate the film one of the mose njoyable to come out of Hinlywond in some time.
Amone the short subjects that will supplement the main fenture picture will
be the two-reel cartoon novelay, "Pop. ye the Sailor Mects Ali Baba and the Forl'y Thieves," which has been made in Trchnicolor and offers several innova tions in cartoon filming, inciuding : third-dinension effect. There atso will be the latest edition of the Paranount Newserei.

> The same program on Friday and Saturday

Schedule Announced
Afier the inaugural nighted the Boro will be opened daily at 5:45 P. M., with Saturdays, shows winll start at $1: 00$ P. M., running continuously until $1 t: 0$ P. M., and on Sundays there will be M P. M.

The Bofo will be devoted to precoring the highest lype of molion pic,
ure enlertainment in an atmosphere of luxury and comfort, and is expected to become a business and architectural asset to the community of Bethesda.

Parking Available For 500 Cars At Boro

There will be no parking problems at the new Boro Theatre. A mammoth tree parking lot 500 cars has been provided for the convenience of Boro patrons. It is located directly behind the theatre, and is casily accessible from the highway.
The lop has been surfaced with tarred gravel. Marked lanes, set far apaef, will facilitete the park-
ing of automobiles and will perIng of automobiles and will per-
mit free passage at all times. Powerful floodlights will !llumsnate the area.

Residents of Bethesda and Chevy Chase will be treated to all the color and glamour of a Hollywood premiere on Thursday night when the new Boro Theatre stages its formal opening under the direction of Sidney Lust.
Powerful flood and spotlights will bathe the front of the beautiful new edifice with a dazzling brilliancy, and motion pictures will be taken of the crowds entering the theatre. Gordon Hittenmark, popular WRC radio announcer, is expected to be on hand to greet arriving celebrities over a public address system, while inside the theatre music will be provided by a string orchestra furnished through the courtesy of the Earle Theatre, and under the direction of its assistant musical director, Alex Podnos.

Local Man Named
Manager Of Boro
William Jobes, Bethesda High Graduate, Appointed Head Of New Theatre
J. William Jobes, well-knom Heth esda resident, has bren selected by sint
ney Lusi for the position of manage of the Boro Theatre. newest link in the chain of Lust motion picture houses operating in the Nation's Capital and
its suburbs. its suburbs. Chevy Chase Hirh past six yenr hash School and for th ness in Washington and Montgomers
County. He is 24 years old.
After recriving his diploma at the
tocal high school where he was an out standing athlete. Mr. Jobes beeame affiliated with Santary Grocery Co. an later with George $F$. Muth \& Co. and the Associated Retaii Credit Men. For a time he studied for the legal protession at the Natinnal Inaw School
in Washington.
He is the so
liam Es Jobes of Bethesda and resides with his wife. the former Miss Heten Howard, and child at 6712 Wisconsin avenue. Miss Howard is the daughter
of the late Clifard Howard of Rock vilte, a lormer Montgomery County

## Wells Fargo Days

 New Film's ThemeJoel McCrea, Frances Dee Cas In Principal Roles in Historical Epic

Two generations of an American fam iiy, lald against the most colarful period Paramount's tribute to the men who connected California to the East in eacly ploneer days, "Wells Farso," show-
ing Sunday, Monday, and Tuesday ing Sunday, Monday, and Tuesday at
the Boro Theatre.
The family is that of Joel McCrea, man of vislon, who goes to the West of establishing a "lifeline of empire" be(ween the East and the sprawling secttlements on the Pacific Coast, and his wlfe, played by Miss Frances Dee, who loves him but falls to grasp the bigness of his dream.
The supporting cast is headed by Bob Bums in the role of an Indian Scout in is his first "stcatgb" role. Others
in the briliant cast are $1, l o y d$ Nolan. Henry O'Nellh, Porter Hall. Robert Cummings, Raiph Morgan, Mary Nash, John Mack Brown and Barlowe Bor Lloyd from a stary by Stuart N. Lake.

# BORO THEATRE OPENS THURSDAY 

## Movie House Ready For First Performance



Boro Theatre, the last wnrd in theatre consiruction, which will be opened here Thuraday nighs with an impressive program beginning at $8: 15$ oclock. The new amusement palace boasts the lateat in found and profection equipment and is fitted with ulfrn-modern features for the comfort of its palrons. The theaire will operate under the direction of Sidney Lust, head of a large chain of theatres in Washingion, Mary. land and Virginlo.

## Boro Is Triumph In Field Of Modern Construction

Bethesda can well be pro
Deng Thursasy nige this community a thealre second to none in the Washington suburban srea, the Woodmont Development Co. has spared no expense in the building of this beautiful edifice, which stands as a triumph in modern thearre construction.

Persons viewing the Boro for the tis type in the Washington territory. first time will thrill to its architectural The ali-metol canopy is shaped in semibeauty. From its marquee and front oval fashion, and has two iarge opal | doors, on through its lobhy, foyer and | Blass panels for kilhouette-type ietters, |
| :---: | :---: |
| auditorium, the Boro breathes the very | Bands of travelling lighta run under | auditorium, the Boro breathes the very

Jpirit Eberson, ceichyated New York arehitect, who has severa! of the newer Washington theatres to his credit, designed the Boro, and has succeeded in making it the epitome of iuxury, beauty and comilit. Da dhe senersl, Washington
tor.

Marquee Impreasive
Upon approaching the theatre, one is ful and massive marquee, the largest of

Hollywood Stars Wire Congratulations To Boro

Telegrams poured into the office of Sidney lust today in a scemingly endless stream, each one carrying some per-
sonni message of good wihi. A few of sonni message of kood
those received follow:
My sineere best wishes for
years of aucesa in years of success In Bethesda. Claudette Coibert.

My heartlest congratulations on The opening of gour new Boro Theatre. -Glnger Rogers. A load of good wifahes to you on the opening of your new Boro
Theatre. Congratalations to you on the opening of your new theatre in Betheada. May It keep coming in a winner. -Bing Crosby.
Good luck for then
of
(See THEATRE, Page 6) 1 (See CONGRatulations, Page 7

## Distinguished Audience To Attend Gay Premiere

John A. Overholt Will Appear As Speaker On Program Presided Over By Brooke johns, Former Star Of Follies-Colbert And Cooper In Screen Feature

Residents of Bethesda and Chevy Chase will be treated to all the color and glamour of a Hollywood premiere on Thursday night when the new Boro Theatre stages its formal opening under the direction of Sidney Lust.
Powerful flood and spotlights will bathe the front of the beautiful new edifice with a dazzling brilliancy, and motion pictures will be taken of the crowds entering the theatre. Gordon Hittenmark, popular WRC radio announcer, is expected to be on hand to greet arriving celebrities over a public address system, while inside the theatre music will be provided by a string orchestra furnished through the courtesy of the Earle Theatre, and under the direction of its assistant musical director, Alex Podnos.

Local Man Named Manager Of Boro 1. William Jobes, Bethesda High Graduate, Appointed Head Of New Theatre
J. William Jobes, well-known Beth esda resident, has been selected by Sidney Lust for the position of manager of the Boro Thentre, newest link in
the chain of Lust motion picture houses the chain of Lust motion picture houses
operating In the Nation's Capital and operating in
its suburts.
its suburts.
Mr. Jobes
Mr. Jobes is a graduate of Bethesda:-
Chevy Chase Hish School and for the past six year has been engaged in business in Washington and Montgomery County. He is 24 years old.
After receiving his diploma at the iocsl high school, where he was an outstanding athlete, Mr. Jotres became affi-
liated with Sanitary Grocery Co and lial cd with Sanitary Grocery Co. and
later with George $F$. Muth \& Co. and the Assoeiated Retait Credit Men. For a time he studied for the iegai grofersion at the National Inaw School in Washington.
He is the son of Mr. and Mrs. Wil liam E. Jobes of Bethesda and resides with his wife, the former Miss Heien Howard, and chiid at 6712 Wisconsin
avenue. Miss Howard is the dauchter of the late Clifard Howard of Rock ville, a former Montgomery Count ville,
sherifi.
Wells Fargo Days
New Film's Theme
Joel McCrea, Frances Dee Cast
In Principal Roles in Historical Epic

Two generations of an American fam ily, fald against the most colorful period in American history, are iraced in connected California to the East in carly pioneer days, "Wells Fargo," showing Sunday, Monday, and Tuesday at the Boro Theat re.
The family is that of Joei McCreo man of vision, who goes to the Wesi for the purpose of growing with 11 and of estahlishing a "llfeifinc of empire" between the East and the sprawling set-
tements on. the Pacific Coast, and his tements on. the Pacific Coast, And his
wife, played hy Miss Frances Dee, who loves him but fails to grasp the bigness of his dream.
The supporting cast is headed by Boh Burns in the role of on Indisa Scout. It is his first "straight" role. Others in the britiant cast are Lloyd Nolan, Henry O'Neili, Porter Hail, Robert Cummings. Ralph Mack Brown Bariowe Bor land. The film was directed ty Frank Lioyd from a story hy Stuart N. Late

The doors of the theatre will be pened at 7 P. M. to permit eariy arnvals 10 inspect the various features of
the luxurious and ultra modern interior. The dedication exercises will stath at 8:15 o'clock with Brooke Johns, former Ziepleid Follies star and for many years the vaudeville pariner of Ann Pennington. acting as master of ceremonics. John A. Overholt, president of the Rethesda Chamber of Commierce, will make the address of wercome, which celebrities.
 of the season's outstanding comedydramas, as the principai film attrattion for the opening nights progtam.

Film Is Outstanding
Starring Gary Cispler andi Claudelte Coibert. thr picture was produced ands
directed by Emat lubisch wion directed by Emet l,ubilsch, whose
reatment of this Ais red Sacoir Parisizn freatment of this Ailred Sacoir Parisian arce has marte the film one of the mest in some time.
Among the shon subjects that wili upplement the main feature picture will be the two-teel cartoon novelty, "Pop. ye the Sailor Mrerts Ali Hatha and the Forty Thieves," whirh has been made in Technicolor and offers severai innova. thons in cartoon filming, including a he the latest edition of the Parsount Newsteel. The same program
Schedule A nnounced

Atiet the inaugural night. the Roro will be opened daily at 5:45 P. M.. with haturd starting is minutes iater. On M running continuousily at 1:00 M., ond on Sundays there wiil be continuous showy from 2:00 to 11:00 . M.
The Boro will be devoled 10 preure entertainment in an atmosphere of uxury and comfort, and is expected to become a business and orchitectural as-

## Parking Available

For 500 Cars At Boro

There will be no parking probiems at the new Boro Theatre. A mammoth frce parking lot
that wili accommodate more than 500 cars has been provided for the convenience of Boro patrons. It is iocated directly behind the theatre, and is easily accessible from the higbway.
The lot has been surfaced with tarred gravel. Marked lanes, set
far apart. will facilitate the park. ing of automobiies and will permit rree passage at ali times. Powerfu! floodlights will illumi-














Section Looking South Through Bethesda Theatre $1 / 10^{\circ \prime}=1^{\circ}-10^{\circ}$


[^0]:    1 Under Chapter 24-A, the Council does not even provide comments to the HPC.

[^1]:    The proposed project will retain and preserve the Wisconsin Avenue facade and the interior of the Bethesda Theater, while constructing a new apartment building above the theater building and townhouses to the rear. The new ten floor building above the theater will be set back twenty feet from the Wisconsin Avenue elevation and will be supported by 12 foot deep trusses spanning over the top of the theater. The existing roof and roof trusses of the theater will most likely be removed to allow installation of the new trusses, and the existing interior ceiling hung from the new trusses.

